


ruly 4 - Pos J. Howard Bail 1. B B G H K M N



A B C D E F G H I J K L M N 0 P Q R S T U

allin o Pickett Austring 18 morgan 783 B. 13 & 13 & BASBB Bo B. B. B 130 Ban Ba Ba Bar 130 Bet Bo Be

Bramard on Frady lours ogu vo louble Childers " Walyamots, et al. 28 Burbank " Forter Blackly " Fadlers 32 0 11 29 Bill mak ", Harkins 48 loon " Turner 64 Barello " Davis. 50 Compbell " M.W herter et al 6-8R " " amberson & Branard. Mon DS Lerry 69 D SA Brock, on the Healy + Wife 124 Granner " Nodges 81 Baker " Griffith 72 Crocker " ganery B 91 Beek " Wilkenson 73 Sale " Mileon 111 Borillo " Wrat, etal 10 84 Clark McKerije " Lourenour 140 87 Coategas Cohesto, Cardwell Brainaid " Verry 155 G 102 lear efert " lemochio 168 123 lemeton " Martin et 2174 124 belante " " 1'15 136 lemmi, hom " Evans 176 Ball " Big Jins Borllo " Coakes H Brock " Meadley Baker " Stocker T " " Hundsmer Colonte huko " Heutchman 177 Barken Husley, Estes leve " Clark th Clair 204 145 Chisholm Jones " Hopporte Back " Jam 159 leul. Lursery Co ", mivillo Barnett " Willcox Bamberger " Negations 65 Costigue Cohento, maliney 237 Buchers " Kenon 162 Cavanah US Mc Carmet 250-M Behin "bensumers 200 Co 181 Boninfield " mack 184 Bais " N Moon 186 Sticks 192 " Hancey 0 198 217 11 R L Culma " I & Johnston Shall 282. Q V W Y

Donahor Enworsto or Osil Delony " Schell Drekey " Rembery Doyle " Cobrera Duch " Low Drikey " Kinds Duly "Ding Demistin "Lamkin "Haggorer Dul "Hark Daly "Hardrich Destrage" Pier

of I want

Ewing vs. Remsberg Jenera " Roseve 2 Engler" Solmes 92 Frisnov megado .. mon 13 Estatrook " Kuhlman 117 Ferera " Markel 36 146 Fanh " Yack 211 Frenod SBK", Drow, et al Engler " Story or Eshelman " Malter Rodyrso 59 Fresew Kied Assn., Agurelera Farmers Bank, Dweger Fresnottind, Assn. " Vlughes 74 751 89 Wilson M N R U

D

E

F

G

H

I

Grunbery norton, "

Gilbert . Stackhouse

Guirbner , Stilbert

Evelon , Shusley

Lood man & Duy En Ø n 51

8

Hurs in y or Gregory

Kulner Folketens to on Perry Kohler " Classo 41 Ku . Strader et els 179 Kutues, G. oto Kohler 198 Kultur Foldstin To " Jackson 200 232 Enlowell 233 7.13, miller 786 mis Dr Palmer 294 Ellis 295 Pranger 2966 Pranger

Lang as Schill 14 Lastrop os drumper 70 Lawren " Baird 83 Lambert Bin. " about 122 dopoezich " Boskovich 148 Lumbert Bros, Wolters 178 " " " Russell 191 Lind " Johnston 219 Landehad " Epperson 224

mcDonald os Rodgero et al 20 madden " devin 3 4 Mullen " Johnson French 44 Mongro " Lundsey 61 meadr " Danters 96 Willen " Hahr 104 " Bogruan Milyain 100 " Brayman Mullen 108 Mongrs " Holeton 110 ", Rollo Mende 113 " Vackard Murbel 125 mayon " lelarlo 161 mattock " Keall 170 mighel. " Simon to. 171 mickell " Trimmer 173 Mohn " Hawn 182 marien " Stanferthetals 197 " Frady 5 501 K Muthesin " Preliger

John mullon. Me Bragman 105M

m, nakamuia & Elclar 128 N 1340 Aakauthson " Sing makon " meljims 143 144 b " Hulgeran motey " Rupert 147 McMullin 1 Cardwell

Q

U

Oleese Garabaldi os Aughes 112.

vo legel Pinental 10 " Johnson Fruck Pichford 43 Partifino 11 Francia 51 Packan , Fills 76 Peck Frenoto Palmer white Brendel 115 129 Porters o Sponogle 135 Pranguer 11 Heason 189

12


Rud vo Bruce 17 Rollins ", Vadlock 18 " " Noodoon 33 Rhodro " Hout 39 Rigglesen " Practier 52 Ramos ", Johnson Fucelo Ruben Ton " Heyd stal 62 Reed " stay for 71 Rowell Johnson, White 77 Je Rhodger Va Holounder, 127 R Ronding " Wheeler 88 R P" "Long 100 " Hedley Rupert 103 Rodrigues " Williams 114 T Rhods " Condra 127 Ruben U " Williams 133 Rosding "Thumbersetal 156 Rey rolds " In it " Plate etex " Hearsburger 187 Ryan " Stack" Rowell " Carlile vorven 2 \$ 4 anderson Rupert 18 Genthort Stall 189 Z

Thephers os. ashbook 6 16 " Hurris Shephend " Bunt 35 Daier " Johnson Funcho Stry " Hern poter Trickery " Herlines 166 Story Dinchery 107 Simott " Reeder 150 152 158 Short " learnall et al 165 Linon Son " Johnston 188 206 Staffers " Heusley 215 Staffers 215 Dayle " Burnett 218 Sure " Frances 223 Stohu " 23/ Stanage US Bury 276 Sough " Rodgigney 278 Sing San Jing US Davis stall 787 278

Trumper os Htgfoatrick Luggart " Genderson Lury " Jawett Throbber " Morgan Tupper US Frank 132 Vandaler vs truck 93 160 " Juvett 183 " Morgan 230 US Frank 284 WXY

Williams os Locus When " Xurin frents Winchell " Events Williamson " Markel 26 Wraver " Johnson Funch 53 Wilcomb " Raymons 79 Winchell " O' Brien 94 ", " Sumas 95 Williamsun" West 97 Wicks " Spongle" Packard 118 119 white " Green 120 Wader " Com Withermer "Malcolum 153 Williamson "Ralston William "Stawn Was " From 7. Gib 200 210 Winds " 220 Winer " Welsh 234

Young so. Rupet JS White V & W Bridel 149 W AJ Wade .. J Corone 130 X Y



No. /

In the Justice's Court of shud

Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. Brainard

Plaintiff....

Defendant....

M.D. Grady

Action

Demand \$ 33.600 Luk

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Mich 21 leamfalaint, Affidaitt a misertalaing on attachment filed o Lummons owith of attachment isened. 22 Ammous a with of attachment juturned

served in 3- Inwaship ofiled. Ordered that the above was be discussed

Justino of the Deare,

Dustices Costs 5.00 " O.L. Hoga. Cons. Costs 4.40 J. E. Doolith

Cons 550

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of the Township,

COUNTY OF FESSION STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Tf. n. Evring
Plaintiff
Cw. Remsberg

Defendant

att:

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 1890 16 D -Mich 21 Complement, affectivité a Medertation, attachment filed o Junions owit of attachment issued I Sumons owit guttachment returned ouvel in 3- Township ofiled. 15 The defendant herein having been regularly served with summons on the 7th day of April, 1890, and having failed to appear and answer ordance, on motion of plaintiffs attorney the dequalt found Defendant, Ow Hamsbery is hereby entered according to law. Wherefore by revor of and default dieres that the plaintiff H.m. Evering do have and prever of and from the defendant Certundery its on Swenty Seven o food allows, with interest at the rute of seven per cent. per amon from the date here of tell pours to yester with costs takes at Justice's costs/1050 Constables avets/6 Done in spen Court this 15 th day of april , 1890 Juscoets 7.5 1. h. functions costs 71.75

Ons " 8" Dons. Coms. " 10.50

Ja ap. on Judy 27.75 DM Scalow alts for fife

In the Justice's Court of third

Township.

COUNTY OF Freeno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

gl. Williams

Frank Lucan

Demand \$ 12.

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS Meh. 21 leomfalaint files voummons isaned. " Dumnous returned served in 3- Zeap. ofiled. 26 Defendant answers by general denial. 10 leves set for trial april 23, 1890, at 2 P. m. 23 Parties in least veves proceeded cout. JE Williams, D. Atvilliams, St. M. Biship, & D. Bridges swom testified for falf. I. Locon & Peter Michel swam thest for Dift. It.M. Culderwood seven thick in rebuttall for peff. The levent were judgment for plf for 8000 carto. Dissucces upon settlement vætisfaction og Judgment entires chis 23 day gapl, 1890.

8.50 sais flew - Justile Jehr Peace.

8. 1 paid J & Dodinh 1. Cr J. J. on Tymm bfatty J. L. K.

5.80 paid S. L. Hogn. Jadyment Wilmes Faro 8.

No. 4 In the Justice's Court of Shis Township, Franco STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Burlank Furniture Manufacturing Co.
Plaintiff Demand \$ 77.85 Nourse, Thosh o Dress Attorney....for Plaintiff Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE /89 0 PROCEEDINGS J. E.S. Meh 22 Complaint, affedovitt a husertakning on attachment filed Fremmons owit of attachment is and Summers owit efattachment returned served The defend ant, W. Atoster, appears o dennes vally Dino for henring denner set for meh. 22, 1890, at Dannerer warmled on eft. answers wally by general devial. leves sich for trial Meh. 22, 1890, at 3:30 P. m. A.M. Drew war otestifies for plaintiff. Draft. on W. A. Joeter by Burbank Furniture monufacturing Co. offices jo evidence, allowed o filed. The Court ordered judgetent for 17.85 ocosts of Wherefor it is vortered, a fordyrd and decreed that the pennity, he Durbank tunture Manfrom Waterster, the dependant, the end Seounty Sevan o \$1/100 Dollars, Lawful money with interest theon at the rate of seven per ourt. per anny from the date here of till fail, together with evets tapes at Justices costs takes at 1225, Constables conto 850, Keepers Done in open Court this 22' day of March, 1890 Justed of the Pence. Execution issued. " returned sat you

In the Justice's Court of Shis

Township,

Mrs. Jo Lowersing Gregory Defendant....

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant...

PROCEEDINGS Uch 22 levenfolaint, affidavitt v mudartakning on att-achment filed Ammons owith of Attachment risened. Constables costs 5. paid.

Justices costs 5. paid.

Justices costs 17. 50 paid & A. Hogos.

6 No. 6 In the Justice's Court of This
county of France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Washiphers aashork

Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

March of lemplaint, appidavitt o Musertakning om attach-ment filed Summons ownit og attachment issned. Summons returned sined in 3° Dup. ofiled,

P1 20. Ach. 25, 1890-

Cons. fees 17 40 baid J& Dochith 10 ght.

Jus. Jus 7,50 paid O.L. Hogge,

Bal of payout 5,10 J. 2 Dochith

No. 7 In the Justice's Court of This Township, COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action..... Dervices as Dep leave. J. L. Druler Plaintiff.... Demand \$ 230 J. G. Johnston Attorney for Plaintiff..... Defendant..... Attorney.....for Defendant..... PROCEEDINGS 1840 March 24 leomplaint filed oo munious issued.

In the Justice's Court of Thus

Township,

COUNTY OF Frest STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mu. Ingram

Plaintiff...

J.R. Bruce, and Mr.

J. H. Bruce his wife

Defendant

Action

att

Demand \$ 88.06

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Much 24 Camplaint, afficiently medertaking on allach ment filed animums ownit gattachement
is ned a

" 29 Described on motion of peantiff, this 29th
day of Meh. 1890.

The Wagne
Justice githe Peace.

Juo costs 6. prid D. L. Hogne.

Coms " 5.20 " J & Dodutt

Sensad 88.06 " J & Dodutt

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

COUNTY OF Forms STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cadolph Kulner Summel Webstein.

Call Goldshin a Alfred Keniner, penthers

Comparing the firm for their, Useldstein & Co

Plaintiff a Demand \$ 44.13 less = 38.15

Plaintiff a Demand \$ 44.13 less = 38.15

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

March 20 leomplaint, affidavitt a mind ertaking on attack.

menh files obummons owith fattachment is ened.

" 29 Sum over a with posterishment released.

" 24 Sum over a with posterishment released.

Dismissed on mestin y pleffor fully polisfied.

Differ of the Conor,

Cons Coto 5. 50 paid O. L. Hogo.

In the Justice's Court of Third

Township,

COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

J. F. albin

m. C. Pistatt

Plaintiff Demand \$ 29.

Defendant

att. Room pent.

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant...

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Much 26 leamplaint, affidavitt mindertakning on attachment files of minous ovorit of attachment is and.

Com & 3 ac Pa J. E. D. Jus Costo para S. A. A.

No. /2

In the Justice's Court of ship

Township,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action.

Board o cash

Demand \$ 27.30

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

March 16 lamplaint filed ormmono issues

In the Justice's Court of Shine

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresho STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Francisco Co, a Action

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$ 75.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

DATE /890

Defendant.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS

March 27 levemplaint, affidavitt v midertaking on attachment filed Summons owit of uttachment isened.

" ostumed ofiled.
10 Execution in 10

It Demand and all costs having him paid this Cun is Orssurssed

Mericalor de succe

In the Justice's Court of Skin

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Colorenbuy Robert Bowles

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 89 Met 28 leverplaint, affidovitt - Musertaking, on attachment files Summons owit glittachuent Dismissed on motion of plantiff. Justice fjihtend.

Semond 9. paid B. S. Greenberg Jus Coets 4.50 paid D. L. Whogne Como 4.50 paid parties Jeffehnelon 1/8.

In the Justice's Court of This Township,

COUNTY OF PUSING STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M.E. Rud

Plaintiff

6. M. E. Bruce

J. F. Bruce

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff..... You Puste own of Attorney for Defendant

Much 29 Heferd Complaint, Offidavith Musertaking on attachment files o Summons ownt of allachment issues.

Most 9 Defendant, C. St. Bruce, Jiles Denim.

46.00

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresho STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lee 9-ning

Plaintiff....

George Rufsert

Defendant

Luler

Demand \$ 139.61

Gunches Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS March 29 leomplaint file ornmons inned " Dummous returned served in 8 - Tropo ofiles. 2 Defendants dennuer filed. " Damand of with for Bill of theme of are file?. 11 Attorneys in Court o convent that the denimer huetofin filed Apl. 2, 1890, be taken up at this After anymment by consent the court of pourticulars, other the Diff. have two days in which tifile answer after service on him found acch. 14 Defendrent answers by general devial, Come och for trial apl. 22, 1890, at 20.00. 22 Parties in Court ready for trial. The defendant admits that the plantiff worked for him from Mich 29, 88 to June 16, 1889, 148/5 munto at 35 per mont. Dift. funter admits that that the sums set fort in plffs. till of items 2 bill as paid by Deff. to plff. between which 29, 1888 o Los 13 1888, is connect. thee young swam other. for peff. (5,0) Luco. Did you have an acch. look Jan - of- dues. Did you ever su paper? of- Paler offices in evidence. of by Dift. as auch. stated, allowed ofiles as Ex "Q" ob , rul) ob. as to what he got when he worked before-Statement admitted in evidence, allowed Their as lex hibit "B" I wighis owom that for Diff. The peffer. atty. asks that all books of original furnished in evidence the Cent of the date

In the Justice's Court of Third Township, COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Micharals

Plaintiff Demand \$ 100: 6 21 cells for

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

C.G. Rodgers E. J. Chatchusen

6 Co. Lehilling

Defendant Defendant

Complaint, affidavitt o Newsertaking on attach ment filed o Junmous ownt of littachenent

Alsomised on motion of plaintiffs O.L. Otogra, J.P.

Semand 69. 31 Paid Dond Eaton aty for fleft Jus Corts 7.50 Janis D. L. A Cons " 14.40 J. E. Doobuite ally my 10. 00 Paid Im reation ally for Plff

Young so. Rupert from page 19a.c. Davis sure that. for Diff. at Isrumer "

Lev. Rupest reculled (06) Cross Ex. Lus. Ur. Rupert Doyon owear that the fryme I in that 13.00 was written by you at the same true owith the own few all that the fey wer fullowing 350 were coutten. aus. Jes six, Drever changes and figures.

Luco. Then all those figures 1, 3, 5,0 were conten by you at the same true? and. 20 the test frug knowledge obelief, I never chunged them.

Admitted that Boguncon would testify to all about discharge of Chim.

Olff. offices in evidence outry of trb. 2, 1890 allowed as Ex 6.

Olf Hours centry of Jan 12, your, 16 rallowed bex D.

" " freb. 9, 1889 page 276 " " + t-" " Freb. 9, 1889 page 276 " " 9.

In the Justice's Court of Thus

Township,

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$ 130.30

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE /890 April 2 leomplaint, affidavitt oundertaking on attachment files Tumons owit of attachment resned " Lummons ownt of attachment returned served in 3 - Zorp ofiled Auswer of Wyundonk filed. The Defendant By a mount avas alt for trial at 3 P. m. 1840, apr J. Apl o Parties in Court ready for trial ADyrum owom and testified for fly. The court orders judgment for pen for 130.30 vests fruit. Therefore it is insered, adjudyes and decreed that the plaintiff ad Green, dohand and recover found from the defendant, A Wollers, the own of one ! vo hundred, Thirty o sopood allow, Lawful money, with interest at the rate greven per cent. for ann from the date Revery till faid, to greter with goods taged at Justices Costs 113. Constables Costs 15.50 Supero fle Done in open Court this 5th day of April, 1890 O.L. Magre, Justice of the teace. Apil 15 Execution wiel Gonny or Rupest Pays 18,19720. heroman Jones owom that for Aigh. Plff. asko leans brunens Comp - Diffeousents variedment made, Statement offered - of - Fourmeles, allowed oflesas 6x 180 Iwg eis owen test in rebuttal. Theory of led you pay 121 offices in evidence, allowed

> Copy of page 121 Their yes substituted by consent for original exhibit of eles as Ex 2" (23)

In the Justice's Court of The Township,

COUNTY OF Frence STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Greenbery Froston Plaintiff ?

a. Wolhers o O. a. Work

Demand \$ 41. 15 Sul Mellys Fire

N-14- Soulo

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS Ow. 8 leversplaint affisavett misertaking on attack ment filed Ammons owith of attachment 18 Summons ovort og sttachment returned severt in 3° Surp. ofiled.

In the Justice's Court of This COUNTY OF Free no STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

a. J. Cardya V. B. Cobb

July 19 Execution issued

· Damayes - Inform Demand \$ 200 Attorney for Plaintiff....

Defendant..... Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE /890 \$ leverplaint file ornimons isaned 10 Amenious returned served in the wife in Frenches. on April 8, 1890, ofiled. 11 Defindant files denunes. " Argument om denner oct for apil 10, 1890, at o P. m. " on suction of plantiffs attorneys it is ordered that a Judgment of a comiscal he entered herein with justs taked to the plaintiff as follows: Justices costs \$6.00 leanstables evets \$200 None in open bount this 11 th day of April, 1890, The Roogne, J.G. Young vo Rupert from payes 18, 19, 20021 June for argument set for apl. 25, 1890, at 1.80 P.M. 35 Parties in Court vary muent commenced. " Forestered by consent till May 17, 1890 17 lease finally argued variberatted.
" The Conduct takes the matter inview advisement, 19 The Downt one Dynest for 46. 5 for
" Wherefore it is veens, adjudges and drevered
that the plunitiff, the govery do how and recovered found from the defendant, George
Perspect the sund for the dried his costs to
dishardraents land out of punded herein
they at Justices Costs 20, 25 Courtables Costs to a Lotal yjendy unt of 168.75 with with whenhe here on at the rate of swen for annu from the doth hereof till paid.

None in open Court this 19th day of July, 1870.

Det Hymne

Justice glith Prince

In the Justice's Court of This

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

E. C. It in chell

Plaintiff

John Don Events

Defendant.

Rent

Demand \$ 25 - E. Co. Winchell
Attorney.....fo

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE /840

PROCEEDINGS

april 5 levemplaint files.

110 pd-

In the Justice's Court of FR.

Township, COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ... work olahar. Archie Anderson Plaintiff Demand \$ 48.40 Holans DM. Deaton. Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney for Defendant Defendant....

DATE 1890 PROCEEDINGS 7 Complaint, affi davitt Mundertakrisy on Attachment filed a surrecours ownt fattachment is and. " Summer or with of attachment returned served ofiled 8 The defendant asks the least tofil annut the Court fixes was bond at one Hundred Dollars. Musertaking on relieve of attached filed owner for relieve of property attached lead och for trial April 19, 1890, at 10 Am. 19 Subpounde rooned for Plaintiff. Parties in court with their attorneys o coop proceeds. Archie Anderson owom testified for plaintiff Levy Brandon, swom thech for plaintiff Dut bil offices in evidence, allowed ofiles as Whitih "a"-Thos Dyr, Hung Finne gan, John Gurland, B. Garland, Walter Dog swow testified for the Defendant. Offer considering the evidence, the court orders Sudyment for plantiff for 48. " vevato. (do Rul) Wherefor ich is wordered, adjudged and decreed that the plantiff Archie Anderson, dohave and Thurn the sum of tosty English of the grand of the The date hereof till baid, to gether with costs taxedat Justiges Costs 1/6.00 Constables evet 1/1.90 Hitness Dees 7440 Done in when Coult this 19th day of apl, 1890 O'L. Wroger, Justio of the Teno. 19 Stay of execution for 10 Days of verds

No. 26

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

COUNTY OF Trush STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

7. Awielinon

Plaintiff...

O. C. Markle

att

Demand \$ 60

Wenley Swift Righty for Plaintiff

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

apl 8 leverpland, afficient medaking on attachment filed ormnous owih gattachment
isened.

" 14 Summons owih gattachment plumes served
in 3- 200 ofilio.

Cono 500

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of The

Township,

COUNTY OF Trees STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff....

Plaintiff....

Praf8 unside

Defendant.....

Plaintiff Demand \$35.28

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant

1840 PROCEEDINGS Apl 10 leamfolant, affidavitt ornsertaknigen attack ment filed osumous ownhoftettachuent asned " Summons swit sallachment returned survey in 3 Jonator Count, ofiled. 16 The defendant huring been regularly served with Elgumon on the 10 th day of Hpril, 1890, and having fruited to appear and answer or denne to the chargelaint on file herem, the defull faid differed ent, MARumaide is hereby entires. It therefore by revised of a til definith and the primises, it is offeres, adjudged and diered that the folar tiffer How. Designame, I do have and recover of and find the adefund and WABurn side the same of thirty time of 16, and allow with interest on anid autompt at the rate grown per cent. per annual prompt at the date hereoftill conto/1050, levestables costs 1885 Done in open aut this Ket day graph, 1890. Justied of the Vence. fudysvent satisfies in full this 21 = day of O.L. Hogne O.O.

Crus 885 point J & Dordute Jus 10.50 paid D. L. Hogas. Deman 35.28 Jain J & Dublint Township,

Charles L. Childen
Plaintiff Demand \$ 250, Int. o 30. Cetts for Savis Walyani att, Rose Walyaniott Ris wife,

Frank Walyaniott

Defendant Denton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /840 PROCEEDINGS Itale, 10 lemplaint, affisaintt omsertaking on attach ment filed Ammuns vevit gattachenent usered. June 19 Duringdons returned served in 2º Leop. ofiled. 20 Dufend and deminand wally filed. Plantiff's ady waives notice of meeting for Court of file and gloved for or - level of forty attacked.

The Court files the amount of bind at three Stimulas sollens. 28 Dennier of france of June 28, 1890,2Pm which the work of the Gase Set for trib at 10 oclock 8 July 8 cts Juriles wir wourt 9 m Sealow affearing for the plantificand downer to marninger to regently to plantiff offer addince to prove his Cours of action and all Middendands Concent that the flanty Take fullement fritt anount buid for nom there fire ordered a fuged and decreed have ROX 828 · that the standy lehas I lehelder have and recover 1000 A Judginon & against the defendants David Megarinot and Rose World his The and Frank Mesernott for the Sun of One hundred and Sifty Six dollars and fefty cents 18 18 108 166 the and twenty five dallars 9 25 the allomes is good 18 fees and that of Sout Taped at constable her Justices fees 8, 200 total amount of judgement two significand and Eighteen dollars and Siverity Cents \$ 218,700 g m Johnson achreg g P. achres for S I Heague J D By consent len days Stay of procueding

No. 39 In the Justice's Court of The COUNTY OF Leans STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Marion Childus Plaintiff

workshaber obal due on house Demand \$ 70. Defendant.

Township,

Attorney for Defendant

mule apil 10 Complaint, affid avett Mudertakning on attachment filed vsimmons wint of attachment is oned.

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of This
COUNTY OF Frame STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

J.R. Jones Gempe Dow

Plaintiff...

Defendant.

Action ...

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Apt 10 leamplaint filed.

Dlamiaed on motion applaintiff.

all costs paid. T.L. Hogre, PROCEEDINGS

In the Justice's Court of Thus

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

9. O. Rallins

Plaintiff Demand \$ 130.

Frank 6. Sadbold Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

OR. I.

DATE /840 10 levenfelant filed vommons isened. Immons returned served in 3-2 cop ofiled. 16 Demmer filed by deft. Assended answer verses complant files " Ranitiff answers are complaint by gentral devel, lease set for trid may/2, 1890, at 2 P. m 12 leantimed til may 13, 1880, at 20, m. 13 Carties in louch ready for trial. J.S. Rollins swow testifico for plantiff. F.E. Dadlock swom thestified for Deft. E. J. Delleck som væliges for Dift. Receifoh from Rollins to Vadlock offered, allowed Tiled as exhibit cx" Level angred or outsetted. Aug 30 The Court orders for dy much for plf. for 1860 Wherefor it is coldered, adjudyed and decreed that the plaintiff J. V. Rawline do have and meaver fant from, the defendant, Frankt. Dadlock, the sum of Thirteen o Grow Dollars, with costs Faxes at Justices costs 750, Courtables 72350, with interest thereon cet the rate freven percent. per anu fine date till paid. Done in Jaen Court this 30 th Day gling District of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of The Township,

Plaintiff Demand \$ 3

Frank Wassengale

Defendant

Action

There for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE/890 PROCEEDINGS Jen. j. 1 Upril 11 Compland, affedwitt a Medertakeny on attack ment files o Summerous ownt futtach ment woned. 12 Summons returned served in 3- 2wp. ofeled Fab 15 The dependant wein having him regularly served with summons and having failed to opper deminer or answer the Complaint on file herein the Egal home for Dame having Expendo on motions of Clambig. the default of saw lepudant Frants Massengale is burnly aftered according tolaw. wherefore by reason of said default and the primices it is ordered ladjudged and decreed that Clarity R Blackly do hair and recover of and from the Defindant Frank Massengall the sun 9 # 37.50 togathe with costs tuesd at Justicel carto \$9 0 contables carto \$400 heing a total Judgment of 51. to with mitual thereon at the rate of swift for cent per amoun Constude faid by G. J. flow dutot hing till paid. Jus arts o, vo " O. L. A. Morichton Suite of the Proce Feb 15 Exacution issued.

6.000

Defendant....

In the Justice's Court of This

Township, COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J.I. Rawhiis John Woodson

Demand \$ 137.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

Il leoufslaint, Affilavitt mudertatung on attachment filed Ammous owit of attachment is and. Dismissed on motion of Paintiff O.L. Hogno, J.P.

Precion #137-amt of Judgment and also the \$50 deposited in place of bond april 1278 1890 fus costs to fail Of. Con Coatsottufar 7 50

No. 3

In the Justice's Court of his country of State of California.

Township,

John Madden

Plaintiff...

James Levin

Defendant

att Bir Long

Demand \$ 1 4.50

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS Afil 12 leverploint, affisavett o misertaking on attachment filed ornumous owith gattachment issues. " Sumons owit guttachment returnes remotives " Teop ofiles.
14 Defend and arranges by general Jenial.

Judgment 14. Cefsl. 15, 1890In the Justice's Court of This country of Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

mashephuo a Born

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 16,25

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant...

Defendant...

DATE /890 Upt 14 Complaint defidavit suntestalaing on attachment files osummons owit of attachment vitures sure I in 25 Sisnifted on motion of semitiff-

Sattie ogto Pence -

No. 36 In the Justice's Court of This country of Line state of California. Township, att BS. A.G. Ferena Demand \$ 23. 20 C. P. muskel o J. Markel puntues Attorney.....for Plaintiff of mukil Bros. Defendant & Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE /890 Upril 15 Complaint, afficient ouwertaking on attachment filed Annuous owit efattachment is and. " Summons owit quettachment returned perved in 3° Surp. ofiles. 22 The orfundant herein & market o I. mustal having been regularly served with purmous Thavery fuiled trappear and answer or denur to the complaint on file herein the degal time for some hoving exprised, the default spanis defendants is hereby entired. Wherefore by reason of said default and the premises it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff al. Frence do have and recover of and from the defendants Ch. markel o J. murkel the sun of Iwenty Three 5 30/100 Dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per and from the date her of till paid by other with costs taped at Justices and 11.50 Constables mus cost of Done in spen Court this 22' day of april, 1890. H. Hogne, Justie of the Pince.

In the Justice's Court of This country of France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Demand \$ 250.

Demand \$ 250.

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Sev. U. J.

Attorney for Defendant. d.). Bogman Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE 1840 Upl 15 lemplaint Offisavitt omsertating on attachment file! 21 Ammons ownt of attachment is and I Trop ofiled. 23 Defendants answer filed. leves set for trad may 15, 1890 at 100AM. 15 leontines till May 220, 1890 at 10 0451. 22 Defts. attorney moves for a goutin and · Dr. o certificate filed -. Affidavett for continuance feled-The newtion for continuous protomed venescon Trues till May 26, 1890 at 3:30 %. M. " Stipulation to allow judgment filed. Wherefore by reason of aid stipulation and the premises it is ordered, adjudged and deered that the plaintiff &. R. Hart, do have and recover of and grown the defendant & J. Bozenian the sum of or Annow dollars, Lawle money, with interest thereon at the rate facous per byster with eveto taped at Justices costs \$12.25 Constables evats 11.70 Keeper fee Done in open work this 22 day of May, 1890. Justio grho Peaco. 14

a

In the Justice's Court of This COUNTY OF TRANK STA

Township,

J.G. Rhodes

Plaintiff

Defendant

Demand \$ 23.00

Attorney for Plaintiff...

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Attorney.....for Defendant...

DATE /890 16 Cemplant files okumous issued. " Summons petermed served in 3- Leufo ofiled. " Tuesday april 29th 1890 at 2 oclock 9. In 29 Court convener at appointed home I G Rhodes appearing as attorney for Flff & Gr Jones as attorney for deft after which The following sortnesses were snow and Je Rhodes & Stroder and S. R Hart, Swow I testique in Très own behulf after ortuele on Inotion of & In Jones the court ordered That fedgement be rendered against Islantiff for the Som of \$17 in Dollars and in form of the defendant 3 R. Hast and it is furthermore ordered. That Islantiff Juny all costs now amounting to \$5 50 together mith accoming costs and it is so ordered adjudged & cleared This 29 day of afril Done in open court this 29 day of april A.D. 1890 John Charles Justice of the Pence! Twops acting for & L'Hoque Justice of the

No. 40

In the Justice's Court of This Township,

country of France State of California.

Adolph Author Samuel Goldstein.

Alex Goldstein o Alfred Kitze Coparties Prom Note

of State as distant to The Grand 8 5 2. Int

J.b. Lewis of J.B. Lewis Chartung doing frames Ser the name of Lewis Brown Defendant R

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Mel 17 Complaint, affisavett & Mudertakein, on attachment files of more ownt of attachment wered.

" 18 Summons ownt of attachment returned served in 4th January of files.

Sie mices on motion of plantly att.

O.L. Hogne,

Jas Coutto 60 " D.L. Hogne.

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lottie a. Robler
Plaintiff

C.F. Clark o

Minni Clark Defendant....

Demand \$ 299. 5 40 attys Ju

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE 1890 apt 17 Complaint omsertakning on attachment files valuens owit spattachment issued.

19 Junious owith spattachment returned pervetions Supofiles

Jus costs Paid.

42 In the Justice's Court of This Township, COUNTY OF Freno STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Rent Mrs. F. Stunsted Demand \$ 47.56 Plaintiff... Goucher Jones Attorney for Plaintiff M. V. Jancey Defendant... Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE/890 PROCEEDINGS Ja.m 17 lemplaint, affisavitt mudertaking on attachment filed o tumous owit of attachment issued. servered on motion of plantiffo alty. Justices Coats '5 paid O. L. Nogne. Cons. conto the sittles by atty. Cickford or Johnson o French tron P. 43 -Die 31 o John met the sum for hundred Dollars, kyester with evets taxes at Justines costs 115.25, leverthables Cost 22.00 Reporters fus 1200, Stitues I cat 90 to or a thereon at the rate of seven percent 722 four ann from the dut hereof till paid Done in Spen Couch the 31st day goe. 1890. Justice of the Peace. Jan 3 Ooshbill filed 7 undertaking on appeal filed ordered the Elecution untofore usual - called that all precudings be stayed. Objection to cost fill and items thereof Alled by D. Ter Seaton atty for Defts Planty of Hyration to Sunties filed 9 Sunties failed to Justing fan New Bond filed and sunties Justified Ordered that property be released 30 notice of appeal Giled

In the Justice's Court of Their

Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jw. Pickford Demand \$ Hudy otherstander for Plaintiff..... O. Johnson o Jot. Tunck Dru Solo Defendant.... Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS Jam. 17 levemplaint files oonen mous isoned. 18 Summons returnes served in 3° Swp. filed. 22 Answer of Defindant files. a 1890, at 10 mm w. 5

Ub. as Nevan. E.E. Edwards swam voens teeling of P.H. Onene of Bolisho a. C. Williams, swow stest forplos. Capers rend o considered in evidence It stoshefound, swown otestified firstifk. Profile office in elidano, allowed ofiles as lex ""

J. H. Linck, swom that for Deft. dues: You gave Crane back 200. Ub vi withdrawn Lase any med osubuntlet. De 31 Judgment vivared for Plantiff for 100 & costs freich , Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and cleared this the Plaintiff Intickford, do have and recover of and from the Defend and shown

In the Justice's Court of Thus

Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

Action

Action

Demand \$

Lady ochustic

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Attoiney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS Jam. 17 levemplaint files oom mons isoned 1.8 Summons returned served in 3 - Swp. ofiled. 22 Answer of Defendant filed. 25 leurs act for trial Dec 9, 1890, at 10 Mm " Subjection is and for foly. 9 Stipulation that testimony S. Herane and H. Walicho be taken in short hand aread at trial. " come & Herano and Hobalicho in swow. · leventimed till Dec 16, 1890, at 9:30 H.m. " " 22 " 22 Parties som le out and Jung crowed. lentine til 1 P. m. Penties in leant ready for trial. J.w. Dickford, sween otestified for prosecution. 722 20 Objec. as & contract wood vouch. Ob. as to what they did o such. M. Jaier, amon and testified for Plaintiff. Frank Dilva .. " " " Ub. as bruhah perple say varah. 6.6. Edwards swam voens teelimony of A.K. One of Bolisho ac Williams swow steet forplos. Capero rend o considered in evidence It. St. Shepand, swom otestifies findigh. Profile officed in elidand, allowed ofiles as lex ""

J. H. Linck, swom that for Deft. dues: You gave Crane back 200. Ubr withdrawn Luce ary net osubuntlet. De 31 Judgment vivared for Plantiff for 100 & costs fruit , Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and cleared this the Plaintiff Intickford, do have and recover of and from the Defend and of theren

In the Justice's Court of Thus ToWnship,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Mullen
Plaintiff 0. Johnson o got a meto Defendant.

Action .

Demand \$

M. Sealor Attorney for Defendant

DATE / 890 Jon PROCEEDINGS 17 Complaint filed o summons mend. 18 Ommons returned in 3° Tropo, ofiled. 22 Auswer of Defendant filed. And Is Subsponner isoned for plaintiff.

Dre 9 leventined till see 16, 1890, at 9:30 Hrm.

" 22, 1890, " " 22 leantines indefinitely



In the Justice's Court of Thus Township,

COUNTY OF FESTIV STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Saier

Plaintiff Demand \$

O. Johnson & Stanck

Defendant Defendant

Defendant Attorney for Defendant

Afril 17 Complaint files summons issued.

18 Dummons returned in 3° Julp. ofiled.

22 Answer of Defendant filed.

25 Set for trial Dec 18, 1890 at 10 mm.

11 " Lubpouror issued for plaintiff.

" " Dulpouror " " Defendant.

Dec 10 Centeired till Dec 16, 1890 at 9:3000000.

" " 22, 1890 " "

" 22 " In definitely.



In the Justice's Court of Their country of France State of California.

Township,

Norahor, Cermions o Co, a Confanting
Plaintiff

Marren Hill

Defendant.

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890

PROCEEDINGS

18 Complaint, affisavitt misertating on attachment files odimmons owith gutt-achment issued.

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

Action Navis Starteough 6. le. Burnett Defendant....

Prom. Late. Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

John Dennies. May 15 Affiswitt & Musestaking on attachment files themmon won't spattachment is sned. in 2º Swf. ofiled May 26 Dismissed on motion of penutiffs atty. Allys fur 10. 00 paid. DM Seaton

Allys fur 10. 00 paid. DM Seaton

Jun. Costs 7. 00 paid. DM Seaton

Cons ... 22.25 paid DM Seaton-Paid Dennis

89.25

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action

Prom. Note

Plaintiff Demand \$ 60 v Ink

West proper Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890

PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDI

Por Inform 50.

Jus coets 3. paid D. L. Hogne.
Como " 2. " JE. D.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of Mud Township,

COUNTY OF Trush STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Johnston
Plaintiff

7 b-9 Griffsh

Defendant....

Action

Out

Demand \$96.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

April 23 learnfolaint affedwart a Undertropping on attachment files of Summons ownt of attachment returned person in 3 Surpofiled in 3 Surpofiled in a Surpofiled in Surposition in

Jus 7.35 pais ? JE Dorbite.

Defendant...../

In the Justice's Court of Thuis Township, COUNTY OF Trees STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. B. Patifino all. Friera

Laber Plaintiff Demand \$ 45,50

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /840 PROCEEDINGS apl so leamplaint affedavitt midestakning in attachment 26 Simmons ount of attachment returned served m 3 Jup. ofiled. 25 The plaintiff asks for an order releasing att-achinent inform filing entisfactory undertaking. The levent fixes amount of undertaking at

on Ahmdred Dollars. hudertakung filed a property cettacked ordered rulivaid.

Lonstables ". 7,50 JE Dorbint PUL JEJ. Misse Amount One 2935 Received 15 cock and 2935 in fuel of and in satisfaction of the above claim Jene Bress

Placeliff Ope 25 adim descrived John Charles J. F. Fint Swap

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

Angeborg Rijfelsen

MJ Practier

Plaintiff.....

Action .

Demand \$ 4450

Attorney for Praintiff

Defendant.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS J. S.O.
April 28	Complaint filed + summing issued Summons returned as having been duly + legally
	Served by Deputy constable of & Dovolettle in this 3rd Lownship this 30th day of africe 1/890
egmi so	That he is indebted to the plaintiff in this action in the dum of \$37 ion which he deposite in the
	hands of this Court the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by John Charles J. F. Disniesed this 24th day of May, 1890.
	D. L. Slogne, Justice of the Place.
	Semand 31. paid M. J. Hausen Jus conts 4. paid O. d. Thousen Constants 2. " J. E. Dooline
	37

Com fees \$200

In the Justice's Court of There Township, county of Fresso STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Plaintiff.

Plaintiff.

Plaintiff. Defendant 5

Demand \$ 299 00

Gracky + Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant...

1890 DATE			PROCEEDINGS OS
	ape.	20	Complant filed & Leanous issue
			Sum one returned as naving been duly & legally
and the same			by Deputy Constable J. E. Dovlittle in this 3 rughoup
Market State			on The 30th day of april 1890
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	May	5	Answer of with filed.
September 1			lease och for trial Dec. 10, 1840, Browne-
	Ti di	11.	Dubponner issned for plaintiff.
Section of the second	//	"	" & eft.
And the second second			levertines til Dec 16, 1890, at 9;800m
-			" 22, " " "
Shanning Street			leantined Indeprintily.
Name and Address of the Owner, where			

In the Justice's Court of There Township,

COUNTY OF Fresmo STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Simon W. Henry Plaintiff Robert Mack

Tent- for damages Demand \$ 5000

Defendant.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

1890 DATE 9.74.R afril 30 Complaint filed and Sum our essuel 36 Summons returned as having been duly and "legally Served by Defruty constable I. H. Roscoe in this 3rd Lownship this 30th clay of april 1890 april 30 May & Answer of Defendant file d.

Con costs \$2 00

In the Justice's Court of Mill Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

al Juny

Plaintiff Demand \$ 41. Ving Garlo

all

PROCEEDINGS

Dayle Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant...../

DATE / 890 May I lemplaint, affisaintt omnisertating om attach. 2 dummers owit of attachment returned served

in 3 Julo ofiled. 20 The defendant herein having my worky oursel

with summor obaving failed to appear and answer or denne to the complaint on file herein the legal tries for owne having expired the default of said defendant is hereby gutered Therefore it is ordered, a djudged ordered that the plantiff the Land dohave vrecover of and from the defendant Ding Stack the sum of Josty one Dollars, with where the

at the rate freven per cent. foer annu from the date here of till found, to yester with evets days at Justices conts 1/000

Constables croto 15,00 Done in Jon Court this 20 th day of may, 1890.

Justice of the Peace,

90-

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF

Franchoun Suring Bunk
Plaintiff... Defendant...

Action. Prom. Auto

Day le told well
AttorneyR for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant

	11	Defendant Attorneyfor Defendant
DATE	1890	PROCEEDINGS JOH.R.
May	/	levnsploint filed osmir ous issued.
"	ئ	Defindant DAMED orgald answers by general denial, vally Hero summers is ened.
Juno	4	Hliro summens isened.
Dee	6	Answer of Deft. Stevend, filed.
"	18	Set for tried Dre. 18th, 1890, alvo Clock and
v		lemitimed Fill I P. In. this date. This case howing been regularly served with
		Thomas there notified of the time set for trial
	ar)	home and the defendants having been called
	G	Thomas failed buppear proceeds with throng.
11		lo. 4. Layle swow testified for plantiff-
"	le	Ordered that the Plaintiff have judgeneut few 200. Substants.
264.		Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that acaparation the plaintiff Thespeano Loan orderings bank
Gras 2.1	6	do have and recover food from the defendants
, 3	, ,	How and and Danchongald the sum of Land
d		Interest together with coats taped at factives
50	10	Interest, together with conto tapes abfauties conto 62 or abotal Judy- ment of 277.10 with interest thereon at the
7	9	ment of 277. a with interest thereon at the
60		the date until paid.
63		Lone in spin Court this 18thing of Dec. 1890.
month		Alstrach of June of Execution issued watheron.
See	18	Hetrack of Judgment in al.
1	31	Execution issued april. 7.1891 Execution issued matheron.

Fusur loan Mo Saving Bank os D. a. M. C. Saugad

affidauit for Examation of Judgment debutor fund.

order requireg Judgment debutor to appear and

#5"

No. 6/

In the Justice's Court of the Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Mongo

Plaintiff Demand \$

Faul John L m Dry

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS May & leonfolaint filed sommions is evel!

In the Justice's Court of

9

Township,

COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruhen Faul R. Ruhen, doing Action

Lusiness as copartness under the firm name France of R. Ruhen & Don

Plaintiff R. Demand \$ 278.

No. Style a ll Jonan

The Topper for Plaintiff & Attorney for Defendant.

Defendants

Defendants

May 6 lemplaint, Affisaintt misertaking om attachment giled omnined on motion of Plaintiff.

Dismined on motion of Plaintiff.

Justice of the Peace.

\$ J

No. 63.

In the Justice's Court of Thus

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action....

Plaintiff...

Demand \$ 153.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Allay 7 leverplaint filed & summons is ened.

" Demons returned accord in 5° Supofiled

" 10 with files answer serves as plaint;

(55°0)

Cono 2

No. 6 /

In the Justice's Court of Min

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

13. W Loyle
Plaintiff

18.

Josephin le abrira

Demand \$ 20

Action

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 890

PROCEEDINGS

May 12 levenplaint filed oemmons reaned.
" Ammons petimed served in 3° Deep ofiled

In the Justice's Court of Skins

Township,

J. Bamberger Vs. G. Degutans

Demand \$ 2/7.56

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

13 lempland, affidavit Mudertakning on attachment filed Lumnous swith of attachment reased. Dismissed on motion of plantiff.

In the Justice's Court of Thuis COUNTY OF This STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Jong Duck Olang Feng Low Defendant.

mose oftent

Demand \$ 295.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE/890 N leverplaint, affiravitt o medertatuing on attachment filed und May surmons own't of attachment is sned. " Summons owit fattachment returned ours ofiles. 20 The defendant having been regularly served with our our showing facted toupper and answer or denn & to everplant on file herein, the legal trive for owner having expired, the defunct found defendant Hong Lang Low is hereby outered. Wherefore by reason of the premies ofthe default herein entered, it is ordered, adjudged and dreven that the plantiff Tong such dohnor and recorn of and from to defendant, Hong tong Low, the own of Swo Stundend & minty Five Dollars, with wherest thereon at the rate of seven per cent. per amoun from the date hereoftill point, to gether with costs to se temperate at Justices costs 10 50, brustables costs 1050 Steepers for 727.00 Justice of the Ruce. May 20 Execution usened returnable within 60 Days. Jus. evets 12. 25 foil V. L. Hogal, Ruper's Fra 45. 00 faid J& Doduth Cons crots 1000 " 1860 29. 10 paid J.S. Devlut

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Flaintiff Demand \$ 23.

Action Moso.

Plaintiff Demand \$ 23.

Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

Defendant Attorney for Defendant

May I'V lernfalaint, Affidavilt Duwertaking on attach-ement filed Summons swit of Attachment " Summous ownt of attachment returned served ofiled 26 The defendant having been regularly served with summons thorning Jacles Dappear and answer or dervier to the complaint on file herein, to legal true for same hooning expired, the default ofsvid defendant Hang Jong Low is hereby entered according to law. Murifun ky reson of the previous and the default him entered, it is vorsered, adjudged and deered that the plantiff he in Tuck on Jan dohave and reover fand from the defendant Hong Lang Low to our of deventy three of sollars, with wherestate the sate facion per cent. per amon from the date here of till paid, to gather with costs taxes at Justices Costs 10.50 Connestables Costs 1500 Done in open bout this 20 it day of May, 1890 -H. Hogn, Justie of the Pence. May 20 Execution issues.

1 27 " filed showing partly catisfied bound of 18.

Conserato v. " Pd. or for D. L. Alogot.

" " Cons " 4. 20 " J & Dorlende

" Juck on Jun 6. vo

18. 00

In the Justice's Court of Me. I

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township,

J. B. Campbell
Plaintiff

E. L. Mel herter o R. a. mermullen

Action ...

Pour note

Demand \$ 18.16 Into alty fue

Ary Leaton

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE / 840

PROCEEDINGS

May 15 bomplant filed.

No. 69
In the Justice's Court of Their

COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

J. B. lempbell
Plaintiff

L. C. anderson

Action

Prom State

Demand \$ 100.

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Mag 15 leomplant filed

No. 71 In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Buckodging D.E. Rud Demand \$ 21. Instaylon Defendant.... PROCEEDINGS May 16 leverploint filed ofmunous issued.

" 18 Dermous returned served in 3- Jup. ofiled

" 17 Defendant wessern by gameal deried, wally

1 m costs 2.40

In the Justice's Court of Thurd Township, Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE 1840 PROCEEDINGS Hay 22 Complinh files of ste Supper, attorney Justin of ste Supper, attorney Justin videred that are alian summer ises

In the Justice's Court of Shis

Township,

COUNTY OF Trees & STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

lehos. le Packans
Plaintiff...

Defendant.

H.P. Jees

Demand \$ 55-

Action ..

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

May 22 leomplaint, Affidavitt vundertakning on attack-ment filed of municans with if attack-ment issued.



No. 77 In the Justice's Court of This Township, LivB. Rowello Leval Johnson Action Moso.

Plaintiff Demand \$ 20.50 Han Metorney for Plaintiff? Itillian Ithite Defendant...../ Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE / 890 PROCEEDINGS Hay 23 Complaint, affidavitt Mudertaking on attachment filed thumans owit spattachment issued. 28 Summer returned served freed. 3 The dy fort thedian bolite, having been regularly served finit summous in the & 2 wf . Thaving quiles to appress somewer or denne to the con-Splaint on file herin, the different of and I defend on the Street according black - Wherefin it is notened, adjudyed and Dureed that the plaintiffs Isea B. Rowell & Reach Johnson Hilliam White, the women of Twenty & Foodollare with interest at the rate formen percent. by grither with costs taxed at Justices costs 10.50 borestables anto \$18.20 Done John Court this 3 day glino, 1890 Justin gito Penco. Justico Costo 10. 70 pois S. L. Koment in Full Portuga Judgment 20.30 Reed and of Independent in Full Portuga Como costo 122. 6 June 9th 1890, Van Weler Harlow atty of Peff. Ponslible Costs 25.50 Paly Feed Bill 4600 } Da god y

No. 79 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Estwilcomb OstRaymond Defendant.... DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS May It leoruplaint, affe dwitt Dudartatu, you attack ment filed vomme one owit of attachment 27 Sommerous returned servet in 3- Trap ofiled. " affectavitt for release of Exemple property filed. " Defendant denne, orally. 31 Sift. consents that decurrer be overruled. " answers by general denial, orally. Dramised on motion of plantiffs attorney. Justie of the Peace.

Lows. " 2.50 Jefohnster.

In the Justice's Court of This Township,

Defendant

Stutner, Goldstein & Co Plaintiff...

mose

Action ...

Mrn. Lugram

Demand \$ 5 9. 89

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

May 24 leverplaint, Affidavitt orewestatin, on attachment filed Ammons owit of attachment

In the Justice's Court of The

Township,

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ms. M. G. Canner M.S. Stodyrs

Plaintiff Demand \$ 7.00

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

DATE 1891 PROCEEDINGS J.G. J. May 24 learnplaint, Affidavitt værdetalang on allachwent files ormmons ovorit ofattachment isoned, 26 Amer mans peturned surved in 3° Imp ofiled.



In the Justice's Court of Their

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

all Prom on to

Plaintiff Demand \$ 250

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890

PROCEEDINGS

Cwif

May 26 Complaint, Affidavitt a Musertatoin, on attack ment files observer ovoit spattachment isoned

In the Justice's Court of This COUNTY OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

George Lawson

Plaintiff Der

L. C. Band

Defendant

Plaintiff Demand \$ 5 1.50

WH. Q. Jon,
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS 26 leonflaint filed vommons issned. 31 Lumous returned served in dan Joaquin Co. mai, 29, 1890. Juno 3 affidavitt find hudertakning on attachment filed ownt gattachenent cased. 19 The defendant herein having been regularly served with su umous chaving failed bupper and nower or denver to the complaint on file herein, the legal true for some hooning efficie to default of sois defendant is hereby entered. Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and dreves that the plaintiff herein George Lawood, do have and recover of and from the defendant, NE-Bains the sum of Fifty sevent fordallars lawful money, with wherest at the rate date Rivery till fairs, by eiter with evets tates at Justies evetstion, le restables evets Done in open Court this 19 th day of June, 1890. (Jom) Justis of Peace. June 19 Execution isones

In the Justice's Court of This

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Birello P. Williams

Hawesh St. R. Styse o Co.

Plaintiff.....

Defendant.

Con price of for Emp. Claim

Demand \$ 45.

Acomo, Shel Singles for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 890 PROCEEDINGS Clay 26 leverplaint, afficient toward taking on attachment filed or ment our our hof 27 Desnussed on motion of pleffs. Ally.

Jus costs 16. paid Ad. Argne.

In the Justice's Court of Shull

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

S. R. Mut

Plaintiff

Vs.

Josefol Worland and

mo. Worland, his wife

Defendant &

Action
Bal Prof. Services

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant

May 27 leverplaint filed orannous issued.

"" " Orannous relations of Auptofiled.

June 2 Disnuisses on mothers of Plaintiff.

Jus costs 12.00 Justie Grace Cono Costo 2.50 J. Horseve

In the Justice's Court of Shirl COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ..

Township,

ah Sher

Demand \$ 155.75

Thing Nock alias Sin Cher Defendant

Garcher Jones Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 19 leverplant filed osumnous is oned in 3 Trop 31 Defh. de ums, orally. Nommer set for ar grunent June, 1890, " wormerled o deft. given two days for answer June 17 Beft. answer by general denial. " Core set for trial July 3, 1890, at 9:80 UNU. 3 The peff asks leave homen complant vomplant amonder. " leontinus by consent tall July 21, 1840, at 2P. m. 21 " 5 P.mv
" " 9304.M-22 varties in leant ready for trad with their Me Thee, Lee fire, ah leek, ah live , ah look swom and on motion of defts. commel placed under the Subjournor is and for Chinese Suterpreter.
Wooden, sword one expeter as Suterpreters
about the wie ah steen, Lu Chie, ah work swown and testified for plaintiff. Admitted that if die Die were present he would testify same as other assigning how testified Objection as to 8th party-apply of leverplaint. Continued till July 23°, 1840, ut 9:30 o'clock a.m. 23 Parties in Couch with their attorneys Hong Lang, swow and testified for pelf. Soutiff rests. Motion by Defto. attorney for noment and and Judy meat for Nest. on gran Hung Jang has not been released Mation Tony Duck swow o testifier for Defend. The court takes the matter meder advisement.

In the Justice's Court of Thuis

Township,

Mork Labor-

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 4

Action

4. Bramand Ulro. Dy 18. Derry

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

			Defendantfor Defendant
	DATE	189	PROCEEDINGS J.J., H.a.J.
	June	3 4	leonifslamt filed vammen inned. Summer selemes served in 5-Twp. ofiled. Denner filed.
	hor.	//	The nection for mon such reads by Defts. attorney is warried.
	11	11	Toherefore, it is ordered got plantiff for 155.75
\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{			and recover wound from the defendant Hong Dock alian Lim Chee the pum fore
~	dig .		hundred fifty fine of 100 Dollars, to yester with costs taxed as follows: Justices costs hunty one Dollars, leverstables costs 7620, lesterpreters for 300, It ituesses Fees 11.00 or a total judgment top 2000 hundred minely six o 45,000 Dollars
	Carro	Cac	Top 20 no hundred minely six v 45 f. v abollove with interest thereon at the rate of seven
-	Jag Jane (,		foer cent. per mun from the dute
			Done in open Count this 11 th day of there. 1890. O.L. Hong no. Justice of the Place. Coat bill filed. (appi)
	hor	11	Cash bill filed. (appi)
	1,	12	Antier og afstænd filed. 25 midertakning om afspealfiled. og-
	11	28	Iranscript en appeal rossed. Justices and paid.
<	Je of		

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

7. Road in g

Plaintiff.....

Richard Vokuler

Defendant.

Action

Demand \$ 200. a Int

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

26 D

June 3 leomfsluint filed mannant issned

Defendant.....

In the Justice's Court of shull

Township,

Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Foresno Knisory when association a Enformation
Plaintiff

James le Stughes

Demand \$

Nebb off her Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

J.DM PROCEEDINGS 3 leomfolvint filed terminas isened 7 Aminuous returned served in 3 Durp. ofiled Jo Defend and denne, wally.

Drive set for hearing argument or dennem set fan 62/0000.

Time for hearing argument ordennem continued indefinate.

Time for hearing argument on human set for 1-24 17 at 1000 miller.

Plainty felies amended correplanit

In the Justice's Court of shirt

Township,

Frank Orocker The People of the Hate of leal of riantiff M. N. Gancey

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS DATE /890 June 5 lemmblemit affisaidt ormsertakning om attachment files olimnions ownith og adach nent isened. " 12 siemissed om motion ofplantiff" H. Stogne, Justine zite Peace.

Township,

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 6 Vandale Plaintiff Demand \$ 170'. John M. Thirds mencin Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney.....for Defendant.... Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS DATE /890 I leverplanch filed osmanous is stupe 9 Ammons returned and offiled. 12 Arft. dennes on the ground that the complaint dors not state fuets sufficient to constitute a cure of action. Aryon Dem sch for Juno 19, 1890, at 9:30 am. June 19 on mation of Plantiffs le musel, the levert overrules the demuns hereto for entend, I wise that the Defendant have no days in which bousever. 19 Stephend for ans 20, 18 ton 130 good gover ald anial, orally. 5 by agreement of chifalou lane is continued. Indefinetly 1 leave och for the of they. 11, 1890, at 20, m. 11 Admitted by commel that consideration in deed from Fundo Devados 2000. leautured for one hour. 11 Carties in levent, ready for trial, a fur yhaving been Coursed. on neutron of Wests coursel Muchor, Thou, twans J.T. Inthe on kitchfield swow or witnesses anduchuled. Ovandaler sewow o teatified for plaintiff. Richard Ron swam test fir Plaintiff. Motion to alike out testimony of MRow, Jimes or ception Ob. as Avalue phorses, overruled o Exception. " " brealvalue, such. John winch, so our otest for Deft. ab brokal tall overmed of vifeeption. AR M. Litchfield, swon e testified for Diff. "

Litchfield, swon e testified for Diff. " The level takes the meather under advise much Dee 20 Judgment ordered for Plaintiff for " Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and decreal that the plantiff be bandaler do have and recover fand from the Nafered with Johnell, the sum

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF TESAN STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff...

Go Muile Turky O' Brien

Defendant.

Action.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS Josu June 7 leamplaint filed our mous rianed, " Arminous returned served in & Impofiled Dissural filed by glantiff In conto \$2.20 fais De d. Hugos.

In the Justice's Court of ohis Township,

COUNTY OF Free SNO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Les J. Wurchell Plaintiff.... Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant... Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890

June 7 levemplanch filed vonnen une is seed.

" 24 Lummons returned served on Joodumas in che 3'- Levf., June
16th, 1890, oon H.w. Williams in 3'- Dup, on June 24, 1890, ofiled.

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS leouplaint, afferdavitt medestatany on attachement files Juneous own of attachment issued. 19 Summons owit of attachment returned served in 3 - Twop. afeled. Jump 28 The defendant, Athlan Dero, having been regularly served with summer to the 3- Judicial Township Lawing facted to poper our wowe or drivery to the complaint wer file herein, the legal time for some having expired the elifant of said defends at is hearty entered. Moherefor it is ordered, adjudged odecred that the placetel here in Ofme ave, do hand for en ver facil from to defentach, At Vanters, the Shity him of york allans Low coin to gether with costs taxes abjustices costs \$1000 Constables costs 7 50, the news for 25 ra total fur yene nt ef 178,10 with interest at che out Leven fer eent per auen from the date kent Done in open Court this 28 th day of few, 1890-Execution issued Ordsus Jue 28 Execution issued (20311) Melliamon vo Heck p. 97. Juno 9 Wherefor it is ordered, adjudged and dieseed that the premitiff herein take nothing by reason of this action orthook the Infendant Hawesh dohard and recover four from the plantiff P. William with interest at the rate of seven per cent per aum from the date hereof till paid, together with his costs of ysleinsements laid out out out seed herein Juscosts 20. Cons. conts , 80 Mitness fres 1 16.80 Done in open Court the 9th Suy of June, 870.

h lil filed Jeles Jelothie Jeth Penne. 12 Cosh hill filed I Refruition is seed.

In the Justice's Court of State of California.

Township,

P. Williamson Demand \$. Havest Attorney for Plaintiff..... Defendant..... Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 89 0 May 3 26 lemplomb, Sumons, Denner, Lotic ofleathing up denver, Auswer otroso Complant, answer to Cross Complaint Offidovitt for change of venue, and Transcript of Docted received from factice Wolcotts Couch ofiled By consent och for trad uny 29, 1890, at 20, m. Subjective usned for plantiff filery. Attorneys in levent & stipulation made in open Couch that testimony of M. J. Will, Thos. J. Bradgers of Mr. Story be taken for the defence, the attys, reserving all objections M. J. West, owom test firdeft. Thos. J. Branfer Soworn test., " Um Story " " " Rungst from dand office to 14403 officed in evidence, allower florates 9" Agreement or Bill rope ale Milliamover to mech " " " B Certifies copy of Dickaratory Statement of eller Jevest Lancis Story Receipt from Land Hill # 14,689 e " " abandonnent of reerstim Clare leventured till 4 P. M. this day Subpornor usued for Deft. beautived till May 3/th, 1890, ah 2 P. M. By stepulation of attys in open bouch statement of which the followitiff P. Williams would testify It if in Couch allowed ofiled. Motion for continued meade by defts. Course Le Chester swow test for peff (obotted) Havest swown that frot fense. (206/r. /nus/rul) Luce for argument set for fund, 1898 at 9 am. Contined for aryment ties June 9, 1890, at 9 am. Case argued vsubmitted.
The Court finds for the defendant for 300 courts of suit.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF FORMA STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

I. Demand \$ 69.

Jr. B. Stackhouse a John Dor Stackhouse Defendant &

Goods on de

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS June 11 leasuplanch, afferda vitt omsertakung on attacher ent files osermere owit systtachent issued. June 20 Summers owit of attachment returned served 10 on notion of Plaintiff and The Defendant hum W. B. Stackhouse of John Doe Stackhour having heen regularly sined with summons of June 201090 and having failed to appear Demuner or answer to The complaint on file humin the legal of said Definance Washing Expired the Refuell Stackhouse is hereby Entired according To law. Whenfore by reason of the default in the primites it is ordered adjudged I dered That The Plainty N.D. Stilbert do have & seconer of and from the Definants AB Stackhause & John Doe Stackhause The sum of \$ 69 to Stoyather with costs tand Cop 14891.1. A. at Justin Costo 4 1. 1.30 Constables Costo Sv- 20 or a total Judgment 178 83 - with intenst thereon Cat the natural seven per Tell paid Done in Pain Court This 10 day of FET-/891 Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of shin Township,

Itu. Atolammond o

Thus Parister

No. 18.

Nasfréli

Plaintiff Demand \$ 176.

Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS

100 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action F. Vouding Plaintiff...

18.

What hang Demand \$ 299. Attorney....for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney.....for Defendant..... June 13 leamplaint filed vanimeous ioned Tep 22 Romer returned served ofiled. Oct 2 Denner filed

In the Justice's Court of Shid Township, COUNTY OF Serve STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ...

Ir. J. Dickey Plaintiff.... Van J. Huid Defendant.....

Demand \$ 120,47, but from June 1th 40. Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS lemplaint Tiles. Dec / Ruminos issued. " Deft. files demunded. 27 Line for hearing decumer eth forther. 29, 1890, 20 m 29 leventines till Jan 3-, 1890, at 2 P. m. Danner overruled by consent of the Defendant. Defendant answers by general derial. Case set for trial fab 16th, 1891, at. 10. A.m. The Alfudant humin S.J. Huids having appeared and confused Judgment valle When in the authorizes of consents that Judgment be Entered in favor of M. J. Dicke for the sum #120 47 and intensely there on a The natt of 1/4 per cent from Jane 1st 1890 to date of Judgment have in and worls There fore by when of the law ha the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that The Plaintiff M. J. Dicken do Chank & mount of from the Dequant I. I. Sunds the sum of 120 42 pincipal \$ /3 50 interest togather with costs tand at Justin costs \$600 Constable costs # 2 2 1 a Colar Judgment \$ 142 22 with intend thing at the rate of seven per cent per arenum from ad then all pard Drue in Spen court this 16 th Day of FEL 1891 Or Denehlon arter of The Perce

3 -

Township,

COUNTY OF Pres STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$ 38.

Bright Strong for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

DATE 890 PROCEEDINGS) uno 16 leverisland, afferavitt a hudertaking on attachunt filed Insurans owit gattachment usened. Summons ound of attackment returned served in 3 Trop. ofiles Diffipplies affor the state of the series gattachment. Bom for release fines at five the firm of 14 th, 1840, at 4 P. m. 19 Continued till June 20, 1890, at 10 Am. 20 Parties in bount ready for toid, a Jury having been would. 6. 7. Ball, swom stert for plaintiff. Hu Sivenhood " Objection as to commention above thay arreled (ob.) Matica testrito out what Volland and, wined. J.A. Delan swow stesh. for Deft. Mation la strike out postion of bedievery, sustained. (06. and (06) Anes till this day at 10 m. Aub isomed for plf-yel. La Gies in Combo Polland recalled. James Smit swam otest. for Difh. abje of no know was muderations or enatained . offer out. Big Jim swom otest. frodeth. acond. E. J. Ball, called test in pributtall. P. D. Bogamon , anno atect. swow orest in metter without aryon. enh till June 24, 1890, at 9 1.50. It The court orders furgment good gas and decreed that Wherefore, it is ordered, ad to plantif Es Ball, dohave un recover of and from defendant, Dig June, the sum of Tenenty Sixo 25, Vollaro, Lawful mospey, Agether with anots for 30 pitres trois 60 00 15, with interest cheen at thrate of some in open court the 24th day of Junes 1890. Vit. Hogre,

Township,

COUNTY OF Frame STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Rufrest M. R. Hendley Plaintiff.....

Defendant...

Action Demand \$ 10,60

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE 1890 PROCEEDINGS L.a June 17 lemplaint, affidavitt or undertaken, or attack -ment files various overit fattachment returned anned " " Ammune overit gattachment returned anned in 3-2 wp. ofiles.

Township,

COUNTY OF . Trees STATE OF CALIFORNIA.


Jos McGuiro RDBogunan John Dol Brown
Defendant

Action ...

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE 1890 PROCEEDINGS June 19 leverfolaint, affidavitt vendertakning var Attachment filed obnum om ovsit gattachment isened. 18 Summon ouit quetachement returned 21 Defendant entero general denial, vrally.



In the Justice's Court of Shirt

Township,

Fres no STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thu. M. Lliry gr Plaintiff Mrs. 2. Sunthered and Isterestead, her Luckand Defendant &

Action Demand \$ 36 60

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE / 890 PROCEEDINGS y res June 18 levenfolaint, Affisaritte o Missertaking un Attach-ment file o Simmons owit og uttachment isenet Danies on motion of plaintiff.

Jus costs 250 paid J. L. Hogne.
Cores costs 25 paid JE Derbite
Deneaud fully paid. Mm/h Story for
Reefer \$ 350 ", J. & Dorbite

In the Justice's Court of Shis Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O.D. Wolnes

Action

Demand \$20.1

\$20. Averse, Shoto Dream, Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS June 19 levensplaint filed ornum our paned.

" Summens returned served in & Sup; filed

" 20 Deft. answers by general denial, vally.

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

John Mullen

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 138
Wilton Bray - nan

Defendant

Defendant

Action

Judy Craham

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890 PROCEEDINGS gig. g. June 19 leamplaint, affedavitt mudertutung in attachment files commons overt y attach-Summons returned ouved in 3° Trop ofiled. 30 Denner files Demmer Overrulea and the leave Set for Creat on July 12th at 2 Octock Por 12 This Case Count on regularly for trad the Planty asked for a Supp Suffona Was mud for Wilneses placed in the hands of constable childres Subjora returned farties in court John muller Mrs & Wats + Garia ash brook Was Surom as Wheres for the plaintiff + crop Examined by the allowing fricht defince Millan Brayman 6 & Bennett, & Binnett 6 6 Garton + W.O Cullum Smorn and Examined firehe dely by agreement of the allowner the Care Continued for argument untill July 14ch at 10 oclock, a In the alive loan was argued yethe allurines and Submilled July to de Court and now at this live of gustice of de here by order agricy and decree that the defendant Millon Brayman have gudgernent against on Planly for the Costs of Sunt mi the above care Justice Cert Tapla at len dollars & July \$ 10,00 Constables Cest Currenty Japed at Keyle Fees Japed at Sixtuen total amount of Judgement Jun Johnson Jacking for S. I Hogue Justile of the Place 3d lownship

Township,

COUNTY OF trees STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Jesson 7.6. levllich

Plaintiff

Defendant....

Action ...

Demand \$

ED Edwards of Hooly
Attorney of or Plaintiff

Attorney....for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

June 19 levruplant files.

No. // 0

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

I. mongo mestrheton Defendant.

Action ... Demand \$ 2/20

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/ 790 PROCEEDINGS JED. June 21 leauplaint, affidewitt vuid staking on cite achieve files of summer ownit of attachment innes.

June 24 dismisses on motion of flaintiff, Hugo, J. Shogih Pence. Demand 18 25 por Monges Jus coet 4, is paid Nogre. Cons., 9, is paid Doclittle. Keeper 1. 50 fd. Bronough.

B

Township,

COUNTY OF S

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ny ils Colo

Plaintiff

G. C. Niclo og

Action att Rent

Demand \$ 700

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney....for Defendant

June 21 leomfolainh diffisaivett o Musertaluir, on attachuemh filed o Lemnons a with of attachment issues. June 25 Dennissed on suchion of plff.

O.L. Alegne,
Junties of the Peace.

Defendant....

112 In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township, andrew Olcenso J. Garibach Plaintiff Demand \$ 250. 50 atty fur

PS. Leny
Attorney for Plaintiff Mrs. M. Johnshes, at Baker, M.L. Hilliams & W.R. Ihomas Defendant & Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS danstin June 28 levruplaint filed sammons isened.
" Sumons returned served in 3- Davp. ofiled.

30

Township,

COUNTY OF Press STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Action

Plaintiff Demand & S.M. Senton

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

June 23 leomplaint filed roumer cases

" " Summons returned served in 5 Leop. Filed,

" Wisniesed on motion of flaintiff.

" Justice file Pener.

Formand paid,

Cons 2.

30

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresna

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. F. Pode Plaintiff—Demand \$ 141, 60 a/c

Attorney for Plaintiff.... Franc County,

a conformation

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890 June 24 leonfolaint filed varmous is said. (50) 15 Diff files demmer Denner overreled by consent out Deft. answers by general devial. " lease set for trad Dap. 16, 1890, at 2 P. M. 16 Contined by consent till lep. 23°, 1890, al 2.P. m. 23 Parties in Court ready for trial: C. J. Pech, swim and testified for plot. El. Shepherd, J. a. Burns, Its Noveyor own.

and testified for Defendant. The love on one judgment for ploutiff fir By consent the filing of cook kill waived. that the folinitiff, P. F. Peck dohow and " deanuty of Frank, a corporation, the som of one hundred, of orty one to less in a Dollars, with goods taked at Justices evots \$7.50 Witness fus \$20.80 or atotal Judgment of 169.90 with interest theren at the sat freen percent. Joer anne from dute til paid. None in spen Couch this 25 day of Seph. 1890. vet 8 Satice of depreal filed
" Inwright on approx is no
" 13 Papers such to Superior Comment

No. //7 In the Justice's Court of Shire Township, COUNTY OF Frenc STATE OF CALIFORNIA. a. Estabiok

a. Astulalman Source Short.
Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant..... Attorney.....for Defendant.... DATE / 890 June 25 leverplaint, affidavett olledestakeig on Attachment filed odinimous ownit eglettachment isaned, 28 Lemons peturnes serves in 3° 2 rop ofiles. Jones US Monis
Guentin ssind Dumas

•

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Jores, O STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Growiehs F. M. Sponogle Defendant.

Demand \$ 252, 700.

Attorney for Plaintiff F.L. Otrol

Attorney for Defendant

DATE /890 June 28 Complaint, affi Davitt vrudentating on attach-nunt files vermeno vivil fattach " Annuans returned served ofiled. 2) Dift. answers, orally, by general demial. " bose set for trial July 24 1890, at 2.0. on. 24 bese contined till trial " 21, 1890, at 9:30 ary. 20 " contines till July 29, 1890, at 7:30 V. SW. 29 Parties in Court's Dift. acks lever Afile amended answer. "Aserenée accieves rountes clanin files Hamtiffs asks leave bounced complaint, o consplaint annewses. 25 Dubponos usned for Deft. 29 Deft. Jeles Demanies. Deft. moves for continuan or Defendants affid avitt for entimen filed. Chamiliff's coursel resists motion for continwork on grounds that the affectivett dono not state that the witness can be had in a reason able tried or at any trus. further that it does not state whether the testimony of said J.A. Chambers is cumulative The Court allows continuous upon pay C2 80 ment of 35,75° evats. The Diff. peff. excepts. leadthered tied arey. 13th, 1880, at 2 6 200. any 13 Parties in beaut och Drummer werneled by consent. Sulpounou sand for Deft. Divivieles som thestifies Subjourner is seed for peff. Book offered in evidence, with objects orbjection overmeled allowed ofiled as tex ch. Dul bill offered in evidence, allowed Tiled asters B

In the Justice's Court of Sheed

Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Own note Plaintiff Demand \$ 174, 58/35-all pfu Inh. We Tuffee Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant.....) Attorney....for Defendant....

DATE / 8 70 July 3 leamplaint afficient trusestaking on attack -Dismisses. D. L. Dogne, Gwirichs vo 7. M. Spronogle Books offered in evidence, allower ofiled as lex "" 13 chities tie any 14,1840, at 28th. " " " " " " " " " " 14 Objec as towhich he paid Chinaman osush. " as Dropah percentago opvines greworsish. Lues: Widn't you work a su out after rives were drad? obvensh Obje. as to being in town while working & ourniled. neotion to strike testimony of Deft. Wined. Lues: Heren't you drunk a good many twee ? observed " Did sit you have gettlewent with Chambers? ab. o weathered, F. M. Sporagle swom stertified for Deft. Objec : as to testimony of moneys paid thicks a overruled on grounds that it is necessary to produce on gived book. exception by felf. Ines: Did you ever have any talle with thele about horse objec or verruled. Sund taster, avon testifiet for Deft Lues: Hove you been mit Hicks while there? obje overnilwoplf-excepts. Lues: Did jou ever see him I vive him wery much? ob osust. R. Workank swom thesh in petentlal. Lues: What was horse used for? Ob. avorruled treefo J. Trovis swom test in rebuttall. Gwwicks testified in rebuttall. Objection as brown ent of \$15. on oct. 30. oberruled vegetting Big Jim swom thest first. Simbordes peculled. Deft object loncalling volice overaled.

Township,

Tous STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Le austri

Estru Caritus

Prom soto Plaintiff Demand \$25, at 575 atty for

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

DATE 1890 june I leverfler ich, leffedavitt vundertakung on att-achment files vannen om verih fattackment is and. July 1 Summons owith of attachment returned served ofiled. I morn comes the plainly + definacint and moves to dismif the above lease and morn at

this love of junte of the jeace Orace that the about leave be and the Same is here by disselfed Commonthing acting for & I Heage of 3d lemmas

Justia 8250 9.8. Daroli

(250) poilette.

COUNTY OF Session STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hank Borlls

Plaintiff....

J.B. Coates 5

Clarence Berry

Defendant.....

Action

9 00 9 00 00

Demand \$ 98.40

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Township,

DATE 1800 pely 3 lerruplanch, afferwett oundertaking on attack met files Ammous ouril fattacherent Dep 26 Summons retinned om 4 th 2 cop. ofiled The organdonts herein having been regularly served with summons in the 4th Downship Copies Justices 3 50 - and having failed troppens and answer or derin to the compolarit on file herein the legal true for owner having expired the default of and defendants J.B. Crotes & Clorence Berry is hereby entered recording to land. according to land, Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged can decreed ion motion of plaintiff, that said plaintiff, Frank Boulls, dohave and recover ofund Bury the own of timety leight o " of 100 Const 450 Nollars, with costs taxes at Justice's costs
(13.55, le oustable's costs 450 or a total programment seven per cent. per amount from the date hereof till paid. Done in Spen Cant this 11 the Lag of In. 1890. 10. Jas on Jus cools 22 Abstract of Judy ment isened. Justice fet black Full patis faction of above judgment is hereby acknowledged deis 3rd day of Dept. 1897 Frank Brills

No. /2 4

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Attorney....for Defendant.....

STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SwBrack Demand \$ 15-25 Plaintiff. mik. Hudley - illn, mi Attorney.....for Plaintiff Hendly his wife Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS leamplant biles. 5 Sunnous usual and placed in the hands of constable Doolette 8 Summons returburned by comtable children Servia guly on the defendants on chura lounship and peled The amment flantif complaint and fund flied by lien, dollars and 25, in in to court as a tender the above Course is here by Set for trial on the 14 day of July 1890 at 10 oclock of that day 14 the above Case is herby Colinied withit July 31 th at 10 Clock of that day and by count of the alto the plant of allowed to draw out less dellars & 10, 200

5 m Pol on Justia Coats July 14.90 M Childers 13- paid Com conto due, 20, 1840.

No. 135

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Dresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

July 3 les suplaint, lefted with o mudertaking of attachment filed of turn and for for attachment is somed.

In the Justice's Court of Thosa

Township,

COUNTY OF FIRE TO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. W. Johnson

Action .

Plaintiff...

Demand \$

W Lang Malcamben
Defendant

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS July 5 Complaint pled and Summers mie a and placed in the hands of contable Jargget

In the Justice's Court of There

Township,

COUNTY OF TOLONO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

1896 July 5 Complaint julia and Sumamons inua and placed in the hands of constable Target I now loomes the dyspodant and fild his answer the about base is here by set for trial on the 17de day of july 1890 at 10 oclock of chat day " leave set for trial July 17th, 1840, at 20 m. 17 By consent entined till July 24, 1890, at 1001m. 24 Parties of levert, with their attorneys, ready for trial by the leavet, I a Juny having been waived J. G. Rhodis sur and testified for plaintiff. Admitted by wifts amusel that R. P. Davidson would To. St. Showsh A. J. Condra & G. Brainand swom and testified for Deft. N.M. Leaton reculled in rebuttall. The bough takes the matter under advisement o it was stopulated in your Court that plff. should file bref wither 3 days, with. Afile 2 days thereafter 6 peff to have & days for answering -Plfs big files. fes answer to to efter brief. Could orders judg meach for joff. for Wherefore it is ordered adjudges and drevered that to plaintiff Ji Rhodes, do how and meour of and from the Argendans Justices costs 11 to leoustables costs + 2.50 Withers fir 2.20 watotal judgment of \$ 216. with witnest thereter at the rate squeeze per cent. per ann from date tells spaid. Den in fin Court this 4 th day gesept. 1890. D. T. Hagin och Reace.

In the Justice's Court of Third

Township,

COUNTY OF FORMS STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Me makamuria

S Sichin

Action

Demand \$ 7, 100

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Defendant

Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS July 7 Complaint pled and Summuns iswed and Floria in the hands of of Cerntable Kingget 8 the about base is here by dissurpea With our Suit y m Johnson Juntes of the peace acting but time for & & Hogue

In the Justice's Court of Third

Township,

COUNTY OF TILES IN STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EBlag & Planer Action

Demand & Demand & Attorney for Plaintiff

The Bridge Defendant Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

July 8 Complaint pled affidabit and undertaking on attachment pled Summons and Writ of allachment mud and placed in the hands of contable Dooletts

Demand #30 =

Justice Cost &= Pd J & Devolute

Constatle ... y = Pd J & Devolute

Kufens J. 5= Pd. J M Qualls

This fue Enem is fuely Daniful = The

Dhis July 10 = 1890

Tourse Atom

They of Plainty

No. 130

In the Justice's Court of Sand

ToWnship,

COUNTY OF /12/100 STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

A J Made Plaintiff... Com-

Demand \$ 60, 100

Action

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890

July 9 Complaint pled and affabit and undertaking on allachment pled Summers mud Wrist of allachment inved and placed wis the hands of Constable Evolette

PROCEEDINGS



Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sofolium Plaintiff Demand \$10 700

NS.

Ben Holndrek Defendant

JG Mads Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney....for Defendant....

July 12 Complaint pled appeared and undertakeng on allachment pled Summans and whit of allachment mind and placed in the hands of constable Jagger

The Summons - Mit of allachment returned served on the defendant at the third lowers he camby of Tresmo and State of leabyrnia

Demand 10. paid to Rhodes

Joseph Como " 14.00 paid Stayer Jaggar

18.00

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Hu. Primper

Plaintiff

John Dord Appalrich

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PATE/890

PROCEEDINGS

July 21 Complaint, affedavitt omsertaking on Claim odelivny filed on one



In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Paul Ruben

Plaintiff.... J.B. Williams

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant...../ DATE /84 0 PROCEEDINGS W. L. Hill July 21 leomplant filed van mous samed. 25 Amons relimes served in 4th Jup. ofiles. Aug. 18 The Defendant, J.B. William, having been ny ularly series evit omnows, and having Jules toaffeer and answer or denne to the Complaint on file herein, to legal time for some having expired, the default of anis defendant is hereby sectored. Wherefor it is ordered, adjudged and devere that the Placetiff Carel Ruben do have orner fant fine the Defendant Bwilliams, the true of one Stunderd and Thru Dollars, with wats taxes at the consstables costs 12.00 or atotal gudgenent of \$111.20 with willied at the secto of seven per cent foer anne from the dato hereof till I Down in Jen Court this 18th day fluy, 1890 Ceny 18 Abstract of Judgment caned. Sept 17 Execution issued. Oct 2) full satisfaction of the with Judgment is this day acknowledged. Chillen Manily

In the Justice's Court of 3 country of State of California.

ToWnship,

Action ... W.P. I alson, Plaintiff. Claimodelis. Demand \$ Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Defendant.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS July 22 leamploint, affisevitt i Musistaten jon Cann odelivny og Personal Property files vannums issned. S.J. Hogno,

In the Justice's Court of the Township,

Janus Portens
Plaintiff F: m. Sponogli

Demand \$ / 6 5.

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant...../

DATE/840 July 23 leamplaint filed rommions isoned. 30 Ammunes returned served in 3 - Supofiled. Huy 6 Thed afen dant, 7. m. 5 poor ogle having keen regularly served with summous sharing failed to appear vansurer or drew to the complaint on file herein the legal true for some having expined, the default frois defendant is hereby cutived. Wherefor it is ordered, adjudged and dressed meover of and from the plantiff Irfundant 7. nr. sporragle the own of one hundred o Aine o 7/100 Dollars, with crets takes at Justices costs \$500 leonotables Costs 220 or a total

1840 ary 6 Abstract og Judgment is ened.

the date hereof till paid.

judgment of 117.45, with whereat thereow at

to rate of seven per cent. per anu from

Done in pen Court his 6 et Day of Heey-

46)05.

Township,

COUNTY OF Free O STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W.G. Balos

Defendant.....

R.C. Gurdner

Demand \$ 96-75

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /840 J. T. J. July 23 leamplaint filed o warrent isoned 24 Immons isoned, 27 Dummous returned ouver in 3 - Deoptfiled Aug 5 The I feedanh, R.C. Gradner, having been

regularly served with summous therough quiled tappers vanover or demer, the legal trive for same having enforced the default grain defendant is hereby

Wherefor it is workered, adjudged and decrew that the plaintiff, Wy. Baker, I ohave ornever fund from the defendant R.C. Lustner the sum of one Amed not Three o 10/100 Dollars, together with Justices coats taxed at 6 constables evets taked at 250 or a total Judgment of 1/11.60 with wherest thereonal the rate of seven per cent. per anne from the date hereof till paid -Dane in spen Court this 5-ch day of august 1890 -

D.L. Alogue, 1822. Justice gib Perce, 11 Ext Ecution usined

18 Execution returned unatuful Enfelia.

C. 15 metros irrued.

3-

Township,

COUNTY OF Franc STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Justan 188.

Justan 600 af. Cripson

Curryer

Defendant....

Demand \$ 65. Inh = 20 Citys for Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE /890 It leouplant filed or uniones isoned. 22 alias summons insued on metalang in 320 Thownship and filed 28 Defindant by this attorney Demines rally time for calling up drumer set for FEL JE 1891 at /da.m. V Dunner Henrild and Dafindant allowed fine FEA days in which to answer. 20 DEfundant, by his attorney appears and agues to allow Judgment to be taken against him. for the arrant state in the complaint and casto. Musefore by reason of said acknowledgement and agricult in the primers it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plainty T. G. Hart do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. W. Statan the such of 35 or principal 458 to intheir togather with Casto Taxed at Justice Carto \$6 50 constables Casto \$ 2 20 or a tot \$ 20th atty fus or a total Judgment of 11/12 with interest their on at the state of the per annum from date here of till paid. One in open court this 20 day of Fit 1891 Jutie of the Pera

Township,

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Gruss See, Sengenten association.

a confercation

Plaintiff Demand \$ 50.

Law ilso

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890 Jan. July 31 leamplaint biles to Jug 1 Ansmores returned served in 3 - Sup ofiled. 59/ Dim set for having argument on demun fan 9 1 /89/at 10 a. Tel. 9 Deminer over ruled and Defendant allowed fine days in which to answer by consent of Stanty Is DEfindant by his attorney answers orally by general demal Case set for monday Jan 19 at 10 am Continued on requish of Defendant till WEAZ Jan 217th Continued by request of Defend Till fan 30, at 10 am 30 parties in court with their attorney ready for Jan Charter of Kundergarter aest offered & allowed in Evidence filed and marked Vlanty Ex a Subscription paper offered & allowed in Evidence filed & Marked Plainty 24 B. With me King is swonn & without for Plainth and in Do you know why that work Conditionally with put ofthe mulions warme of sul Ex what was the Object of the association objection suling & Exception US. a. Walson show I testifued for Define On What was Jam Sozach in willing the word Conditionally often flam name. whing exerption. Case of med and submilled court Talus all Fib 4 th 10 a.m. Judgment ordined for Plaintiff for fifty FEL Therefore it is bround adjudged and decreed that the Clarity The Fueno Guddigatin acroccation a corporation do have truther of and from

No. 142

In the Justice's Court of 3- Township,

country of State of California.

Action

Plaintiff Demand & 2 (TA)

Plaintiff Demand \$ 200.

Server amillen
Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant & Attorney for Defendant &

PROCEEDINGS 1.9. 2. DATE /890 31 leamfolant filed our our vous de 2 Summerus returnes second on defendants oft and file. 18 By stipulation of attorneys in your land it is ownered that this evor be transferred for trial to the Judicies levely of the 3° -Judicial Township, levely of Fresul, presided over by How. Oliver Wolcott. J.D. Ju tral. S.L. Hoghe Justice conto 5. 2 Justice ofth Pence. The Frence Kundagate association of Walson The Defendant G. A. Wilson the sum of 500 Jifty Collars with costs taxed at Justice Costs \$11050 constables conto \$ 220 na total Judgmer 7 \$ 62 to with with thereon at the rate of seven for cent for amount from date hung till baid Some in open court this 4 day of February 1491 I I I south of The Perce

In the Justice's Court of 3- Township, .

Prog Services

Demand \$ 34.90.

Hes. B. Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

July 31 leonfelmick piles vennous iraned.

Defendant.....

In the Justice's Court of 3 COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action. JaBarler 5 Checker Gwoffensle Plaintiff....

Demand \$ 99.50

Wester

Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS 1 leonifolairk filed vanne un is aned. 4 Ammers returned prived in 8 2 Drop. 9/892 Auswer of with filed 3 Moleon upon which sunce is duly welcountedged hat Dequivant will more to dumines this care on may 11.1892 for want of proncertion or unrealsonobe delay is proceeding ite same. " Afridanth of R. D. Dankson filed Depulant " Personally appeared R.P. Dankson and mones that this case be dissumased maccamety unreaconable delay in the Prosecution, and the court ofter waiting one hours and no appearative being made by Plaintiffs and It appearing to the court that there has hun unreasonable deloy on the part flas prosecution. It is ordered that the case be dissursal and Casts taxed to Plaintiffs Wherefore it is ordered affect get and deened that the sequelant a. Estes. do have and seconer of and from J.a. Barker and 4. W. Slender the Sum (8) \$500 Justice Costs and \$ 2 20 constables costs, wing a total Julyment 17\$770 with subject themon of the rate of seven per cent per annual duti herefor tell par Done in ofme court this 11 day of May 1842

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action

J.B. Engler

Plaintiff Demand \$ 25.

W.u. Slory, Jr

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

DATE 890 Complaint filed. Affidavitt mustalany opattachment filedosmunous owih How our returned ouved in 3-200po file sift answers by general denial. Lift. asks that an over be meder for release of attacked property. The court fixed amount freed Estatem, in releas fattachment ah 100. Undertaking om release of Allachment filed o order for release made lease act for trial Huy 15, 1890 at 7 P. m " Defendant enter general denial transact complaint, or ally. Parties in beaut ready for trial. J.B. Engler, swow stestifies for plaintiff. Now M. Story, Jo. swow stest for Sift. W. M. whittaker " ach affered in evidence by peff. allowed files Ex a. " hoote" " allowed as Ex The least orders judgment for \$1.80 for peff-Wherefore it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plantiff, J.B. Engler, dohaws and recover of and were the Defend with, Her Story, It, the sun 180 with costs taxed at Justices costs 1500 Constables costs 650 Kupino ful 3 or a total furlyment of 26.80 with rileast thereon at the rate of seven per cent per cum from the date hereof till paid. Dens in April Coul this 15th day of any 1890 -Come # 6.50 Jaggart

oration 3 045 g he auly Stay of Execution 5 Days ordered - o

In the Justice's Court of 3- Township,

W.F. Mickey
Plaintiff Demand \$ 12.80

Geo. Rupert

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

DATE/890

Quy 6 levenfolaisk files & Summons isanes

" " Sommons returned account in 3 = Deoptofiles.

Cous 250.

Jus ents 12.20 paid S. L. Art.

Nam and 12.80 paid S. L. Art.

Township,

COUNTY OF FREECO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Ben Lopezich Geralamo Baskovich Demand \$ 17. 25

Grady Musting Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS Muy 8 leverplaint, affer avitt Tundestaking on attack ment files of annous wit quetachuent resul Durmous returned auved in 3- 2 wp filed, 13 Dufendant answers, vally, by general Irnial. 14 Dirminad on motion of plaintiff. S.L. Hongre, Jus costs 50 pard S.L. Hoges tons, of pard J.J. J. J.J.

No. 149

In the Justice's Court of the Township,

COUNTY OF Frence STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jones Moor 6
Chas. Moor Defendant...

Demand \$ 10. Into 755 cares for Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney....for Defendant

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

H.a.J.

Aug 8 kompland files værmons isene.

(50)

In the Justice's Court of Shird

Township,

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas Sumott Plaintiff

Demand \$

Jacob Reder

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS boneplouch filed a warred usened Served in the 3- Deop sfiled. 29 Shed afordouck, Jacob Rester, having been regularly served cout annumous on the 29th day of they, 1840, Thaven feeled trapgear vansver or deme to the complaint on file herein, the legal tred for same having expired the default of and difered out is hereby entered Whenfor it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff, Thomas & Sumott do how and recover of and from the defendant Jueob Reeder the sum of Elevingorieurs, with courts taxed at Justices costs 5. Constables evets 180 waterfal judgment of 1780 waterfal judgment of 1780 water interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent. /ser amm from to date hereof till paid. Done in Joen Court this 29th day of they. 1890. Justiel jeto Peace. Cerco 180

No. /5/ In the Justice's Court of 3 country of French State of California. Township, John 6 n). Ens Rock Demand \$ 3 \ /4 Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff..... Defendant.... Attorney.....for Defendant.... PROCEEDINGS any 8 leverpenich files & Lun

No. 152

In the Justice's Court of 3.

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township,

Thros. Simult

John J. 18 nes

Plaintiff...

Action ..

Demand & 501 , Int

R. B. Leny Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS

leverspland files von

3-

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

a willheurer
Plaintiff

W.L. ellalcolucion

Demand \$ 73. 96 Luko 125 aligo ben

Berline Toffer
Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.....

DATE 1890 My 9 leversolaint files o sum our conscience 14 de en 3 dup. filed 29 The defendant herein, It Malcolinsen, having heen regularly served with sum one and having failed Dajaforer and answer or denne to the every folaich on file herein the legal true for same having expensed the default ofsaid defendant is hereby entered -Wherefor it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff, A. Wilthimer, dohow and recover of and fever the Defendant, It.L. Malcolinsen the sum of Seventy Three 5 46/1008 Dollais Brincipal, "11." Interest, an attorneys for of Zwenty Fire Dollars, by ther with costs taxed at Justice's coats 6. leonatables coats 7320 or a total Judgment of 119.30 with neterest at the rate of seven per cent. poer ann from the date hereof till paid Done in when Court this 29th day Com 3 36 29 Abstract of fudgment is and.

Township,

Hony Back

ah Jan Plaintiff... Defendant.

Demand \$

Action.

Chas. L. Kneen)
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS

Aug. 11 bennsplaint, Affidmitt omwertaking conlittachment filed or survey to With opatta chinent is ened

3

Township,

COUNTY OF Fren STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James M. Contigue, Ed. Coheno

W. G. Cohen partners dving business action

the firm name of Costigues, when to
Plaintiff & Demand \$ 94. Into 10- alty for

4. L. Cand well

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant....

Attorney....for Defendant....

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

9.74. W.

Aug 12 lemmplaint, affidavitt a 'undertakuiry' n

attackment filed of functions owith fattack
ment is ned.

14 descue gooff. Filed.

(60)

In the Justice's Court of GOUNTY OF FRANCE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action.

Township,

lj, le, Rowling

Plaintiff

John Lambers o

2.71. Sprregle

Defendant R

Demand \$32. a Int

Nouse That Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Aug. 12 leomplaint, affid avit i Ambestatein, on Attachment filed ormnows ownit Auttachment issned.

In the Justice's Court of 3- Township, county of French State of California.

H. M. Druk

Plaintiff....

Defendant....

att Prous Noto Demand \$ 106.75. Into 25 att. see

Louise Shack.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

any 12 leverplant filed or mores is and

De Ma, 8, 250 Ha. Taggart.

Jus. Casts 2001. L. H.

have and mit high pite the of and from the

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant...

D.P. Barnett Plaintiff.... Oliver Hillox

Demand \$ 75 - Venturel & alts free

M & Eafon

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS M.C. DATE / 890 any 12 lennflowich affidowith Tundertaking on attach ment usened

\$5 objec

Skepund or . McBudo 708 und onehunded and twenty fine dolla with costs taxes at Justice sorts 14.75 Constables costs \$ 50, total jud your with culerest there on at the rato of seven per cent. fre a the dute here of till found. Done in open Court this 18th day of movember, 1890 - D. L. Hong Justino of the Varia

Stay officition more so for 10 Days, by consent.

Latine of upperel filed.

hud entaking on appeal filed.

3-

Township,

COUNTY OF Tresnd STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

H. A. Taggarh
Plaintiff Demand \$ C. G. anderson

Attorney.....for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 890 mil 11 bomplant, affidavett Mudertakning en Attack-ment filed & Semmons owih fullachment 11 Annevers sout of attachment relumed served in Franco to. Tiled. The Dift. de mors to the Complant, vally-" Musertaking om relevas of attachment filed oproperty ordered released. 15 Deft. s dennuer over ruled. ", et " answers by general denial, erally-Dept. It Desniessed on no teve of folaintiff. Sh. Hog w. Demand 12.0 paid S. S. Scognes. Mayor Us Clark Munfor by reason of the law and the punces it is ordered adjudged and decred that the plantiff Who Mayon or have and moves of and from the Debinback J. D. Clark Un sem 19731. 5 togather with costs tage at Justis costs 1/4.75 courtables costs shings fus #11 50 Publishers fus # 10 or a total Judgment of 2794 twith interst there on as dati heng till paid Cens Culs 7:40 Pa Jone in Then court this 15 day of June 1891 Justice of the Pener

Township,

COUNTY OF Trus STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The M. S. Mayon Plaintiff Demand \$ 231, J. 20, Cent Defendant...../

all few or ce inch Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE CASSO Guy 14 Complaint affedwarth Trendertaking on Attachment files rounces our of attachment risned. 21 afferdavitt for publication formeron " brown for forblication filed 30 answer of a eft. filed Je Caso set for trial Thirsday murch 12 1891 at 10 am 12 The planty by his attorny asks for a Continue of this case till June, 15 1891 at 12 0.m. in support of which he fells the necessary affidaux. motion argued land submitted Toolion for a continue granted and the Planty ordered to file dut undertaking in The sum of \$3500 as required by land 19 undstaking V Joiled. 12 Complant and stipulation (fun June " By Consent set and continue the June 17 at 10 am B subposera issued for Plainting 17 Parties in court with their actionings ready for trive J. D. Clark. surlow When was the wood when he told it! objection veling. Contract offered and allowed in Evidence freed as plainty Ex a W. a. noble swon stesting for Planto. Seon & Church swon I ashful for Depune What was the Contents of that instruct offered suling, Judge Firmin Church swon Heit fel for Loque J. D. Clark nealled quele "I told him to sent Daugherty's objection I weling Case argued and submitted The enach takes it undadmind tell fund 15.1891 at 10 am 15 Judgment rendered for Plaintito for 1/31 and ents of suit.

In the Justice's Court of 3—
COUNTY OF FINAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Theow Bock Demand \$ 27.500

Though Stown

Defendant.

Attorney.....for Defendant....

J.2521. Aug 14 leomplaint affis avitt Mudertaking on attachment filed remunder or with of attachment is sned.

16 Summer returned served in the 3' Zownship filed. Dinned on suction of felf.

Of Hoops, Justie fik Penne.

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant....

Plaintiff....

att. Bil

Demand \$ / 45

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 15 leomplank, Afferwitt med artaling on attack ment filed o summous owit ofthach " She defendant appears a auseres by general dried coally. The Diff. moves the Court tofix amount of midestaking for orleans of property attacked -The Court fixes amount for . Sertalany "2 from fiers of property attacked at 1310.
"2 leave set for tried An. 13th, 1890, at 40' Clock P. M Subpounde issued for plointiff Die 31 leventied undefinitely. levre set for trial de Jan 2, 1890, at 2 P. no.

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

H. J. Hourt
Lule Wilson

Plaintiff.....

Defendant.

Action

Demand \$ 16.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

Aug 15 Complaint filed vonmen ous promed.

" Immuons returned ouver in the Drop ofiled.

In the Justice's Court of 3 - COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

J. H. Carmade of Honory Demand &

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Defendant.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Aug 19 leve planish, affidevit værdestateri, om attachme t file & former om sowith of

30

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

AR. levnefok

Plaintiff....

Ps.

Ps.

Defendant

Demand \$ 54 55

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE/890 PROCEEDINGS Jan 26 leverplanch, affectivett o medertaking on attachment filed o Summons owit of attachment is and. Summons returned served in 3 - 2mp. ofiled Sup 20 the defend out having been regularly served with sum one and having fulled toppens and one or denn to the complaint on file herein the legal time for a come having expired the Dymet of air defundant is hurly entered. Therefore, it is ordered, adjudyed and decreed that the Penntiff, att. Comfort do have and receiver fond from the Defendant O. I workid, the own of tifly tour of of vodolling Together with a note taked at Justices costs 167.25 with interest thereon at therate of swen for ent for any from dute till paid. Done in spen levent this 20 th day of D. L. Kenno, Justick of the Pa 23 affadaut Br Ey amination of Enamisher filed. order citing summished to appear June 2" 1892 at 10 am. and testifery Examination Continued till June 9 at 93 or June order returned and file Swoon and testiful that they had in their possession " # 5 " and he was any the caunt ordered to pay 11 it over

CC

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Treend STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff....

Demand \$ 298.

M. Gardner g. J. Gilbert

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant...

CC 5 0

Township,

COUNTY OF TESMS STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Gardner g. J. Gilberh

Plaintiff Demand \$ 298.

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant....

DATE / 890

Aug 26 leonplaint, afid avett trudestaking on attachment filed & summons owith of attachment issned.

In the Justice's Court of

ToWnship,

Brand STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo L. Mallock

Josia He all

Plaintiff...

Action.

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.

Aug 28 lermplant Affidievett o Muterheitering on altachment filed oxnumens ownit of ultrachment is end.

29 Drimines on motion applaintiff.

S.L. Hogur,

Justice Jeth Place.

Township,

COUNTY OF French STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mm. E. Mighell - Dand Richard paintness Action to Colain Nelivery

fine name of Mighello Richard Plaintiff Demand &

S. Vinnon S. Lecter, partners

Attorney for Plaintiff

under the fine name of sincer to

Defendant Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Jug 28 lenniplaint filed and some of and an end of the deminer
Plaintiff act, asks leave to an end of the deminer
plaint, and a plaint.

" 13 Depts. act, file demine to complaint as an and of the plaint of the filed.

" Seartiff files a monded complaint.

" Summer of N eft. filed.

" leave set for third ther 24, 1890, at 2 P. rn.

" 24 Contined till ther 30, 1890, at 200.77.

Justices Croto

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Ins M STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

John Johnston
Plaintiff

Ast Bayrash

Demand \$ 7800 Into 15 attype

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

Aug. 31 Complaint, Affidavitt & Mudataking von Attach-ment files odenmes ownib fattachment isened.

In the Justice's Court of & Township,

J. J. Mickel

Plaintiff Demand \$ 49.83, Inch. 5 20 Alfo fee

Mary W. Erriner Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Aug 30 leomfolvink filed oonmons is ened

Defendant.....



No. / 7 4

In the Justice's Court of 3- Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S. Cureton

Plaintiff

Plaintiff

Post in p

C. Clartin

Defendant

Attorney....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS I leamfelant filed or some coned. mons pelines perved Lup Tiles. 15 she defend out herein having been originally served with surrous on Saph. 2, 1890, and having failes to appear and answer or denen to the somplaint on file herein the legal true for some having expired the default of said defendants f.J. Wentin of C. Martin is hereby entered. Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff, a.S. Culton, do have and recover of and from the defendants, J. S. Markin and J. C. Marking, the sum of Fifty Devs of portalens, together with easts taxed at Justices costs Constables fees 10.00) Attorneys fees 20.00 vou hold judgment of Eighty Eight 140,000 ollows with interest thereon at the rate of seven foer cent. foer aun from date Done in open Court this 15th day goleph-O.L. Hogfre Sept 13 illus Execution issued

Township,

COUNTY OF FISHO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

Defendant....

Action ...

Demand \$ 35. 20 G.L. 7 25. Alles fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Dep 1 Compliant feler or munous issnes

Township,

COUNTY OF Presid STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Demand &

Demand &

Demand &

Demand &

Demand &

Demand &

Description

Partners under the firm name of Evans of Italian

Defendant

Claim Thelivery

Attorney.....for Defendant....

J. W. Dunas Dep 1 leomplaint filed o Lumerous comed , 2 Summer returns muse in 3° 2 rup. 4. June files.

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. J. Hentehmon

Defendant...../

Close Lilo

Plaintiff Demand \$ 4,40

Attorney for Plaintiff..... H. H. weesh Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /8/10

Out & Complaint filed rammons is and.

" 3 Demonstration retires served in 3- 2 wp.

Filed. " 5 Nifewount enters general demme, vally. Die 29 Argument on Demmer set for Die. 50,1890. at 2 P. m. 30 The Drummer to the conflict ownelled on motion of the Dealith , orthe Count worders that the Defendant answer for think. The defendant herein, E. V. Hutchurson Laving heer regularly served week summons and having fulled to appear and arraine to the compolarister, file herein the dafault agains defendaut the Statehinson is hereby entired. Wherefor it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plantiffs, Stark of while dohave and reques frankfrom the Defendant, C. V. Stutchinson, the sum of thirty and a 4 y, war Dullars, &gether with costs tuped at pretice's costs 16.00 Comstables evets 2. or at that Judgment of 39.40 with interest therem at the rate of seven per cent. per ann from dute till pard. Done in open Camp this 30 ch day of December, 1890. Justin of the same.

3=

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Studies Suches Seed of Contine

Plaintiff Demand \$ 2056 of Day

Milliam Street, Ellen Steed Dr.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lie vife a Clinic Cornell

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE / 891

PROCEEDINGS

Sep o leasuplaint file verman isone?

copies 2. d. 16. 223

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ...

P. M. Behinin

Plaintiff Demand \$

2he leminers Que Confirment

a senforation

Defendant

H. C. 2 up her Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE PROCEEDINGS

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

WHI nohu Cst staron

Plaintiff...

Defendant.

Action .

Demand \$

H. C. 2 /sper Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 890

PROCEEDINGS

24. J. H.a.T.

Dep 19 leamfolaint filed

" He Dimmons issned

Od 7 Sumons returned aured and filed.

" 13 Deft. - y general denial, wally.

COUNTY OF TESTO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Zerry
Plaintiff

P. D. Jewett

Defendant...

Action

Off.

Demand \$ 40:

Attorney....for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant

Township,

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Sep 13 leverple it askedowith a animating on attachme ment files or ment of according to attachme is and.

16 Some works returned served in Kemica offiled Oct. 2 Dramissed on scotter of plaintiff.

Oct. 2 Dramissed on scotter of plaintiff.

In the Justice's Court of Shird

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Dr. J. Bornifield
Plaintiff
P. D. U. Lyrr

Demand \$

Action ..

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant.

ATTE OF STATE

PROCEEDINGS

Dep 18 bomplouit, Affidavitt o undertakei, on attachment filed oannmous owit vfattachment issned.

(5-)

Township,

HASSamme of
Plaintiff
Ben 13. Bresee

Defendant.....

Action ...

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

m. e DATE /840 PROCEEDINGS Dep 13 leverplacech abfed a vett ornativating in attachment film vorm words owit of attachment is ened.

" 18 Summons returned ouved in & Dup. ofiled
" 25 dismissed I. L. Hey wo get Pa

In the Justice's Court of Man Township,

COUNTY OF Dresned STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action..... June Plaintiff Demand \$ 59.05 Jacobstonsburger o On en Spoen Defendant.... he wer that Attorney for Plaintiff.... ED. Ed was do Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 26 Rumons pretuned served in Franco Co. Files.

Survey of Spendant files. leuse suh for tral Oct. 25, 1890, at 2 Pm, 30 leontines til Av. 19, 1890, at 90 or.m. " Autico of Trial filed. 19 Parties in femal ready for trial, a fury having. been wained from the for felf.

J. Housberg as of from sewow thestifies for the wifene.

" Lease substitute with anyment.

" Le least substitute of the formal for Plantiff for Signer of the plantiff for Wherefore it of the plantiff for Wherefore it of the plantiff for the plant Cons 3,90 at Justiers cosets 10, bourtable's costs 390 or atotal Justiers for your of 12.95 with interest thereon aborcho at of seven percent foer and from the date hereof till

30

Township,

COUNTY OF Treens STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Herry Prougner Plaintiff...
Vs.
Dank Haron

a e e X.

Demand \$28.

Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant.....

DATE/890

Dep 20 learnsplaint siled as were of the source of the source



Constable Costo 250

Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of

att.

Township,

Fresmo STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action J. H. Welintiff...

Plaintiff...

P. Reeston

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Join : DATE PROCEEDINGS Det 20 levneplant, affiduvitt ommenterlein, on attachment files ommens owit fultach-ment issued.

" 30 Core dismissed.

Justice gets Bace.

Township,

COUNTY OF Pressed STATE OF CALIFORNIA

m. Lambet of Charles Lamber Action

buthers under the firm name flambet From.

Plaintiff Demand \$ 2 76.48

Plaintiff Demand \$ 2 76.48

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant....

Attorney....for Defendant

DATE /89 PROCEEDINGS J.G. 9

Dep 26 leomplaint, affidavill v hudertaking on attackment filed osmnons owit gattackment
isened.

" Summons returned period in 5 - Deop. filed

(550)

Township,

Dresno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Andrew Band Gwwichs

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS Def 26 komplaint, Afficheritt omdestakeing no attachment filed ommen omt of attachment isened.

30 leve diemissed.

Judine gih Race.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF 7

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

Defendant....

Action ...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE / 870

PROCEEDINGS

Sep 26 leverplant, Afficant vænd utakny on Attach-ment filed o summons o wit grattach-ment isoned.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Ven Meter o warlo

Demand \$ 18840

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Defendant....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS Dep 29 leanefolaint, Affed with tursentaking

Oct 22/880. It is hereby Stepulated this cause is to be and is hereby desmissed at cost of Plaintiff Van Weter, Marlow

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresh STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff...

andrew 13 ain M. Janey

Defendant.

Action.

att

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1890

PROCEEDINGS

29. levnefolaint, Affidavitle. Tour estatuing son attach-oment filed ormnone with og attachment issoci



s Township,

COUNTY OF Trus nd STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Metion

Plaintiff Demand \$ 200, 200 50 atty for Plaintiff

Of Bland Some Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Dep 24 Complaint, affedavett oundertaking on attackment filed & summons revit of attachment isoned Det 23 The Defendant JS. Bedford, having been nywork served with surrivers thereing facted to appear and anver er den eur bete confolauch on file herein to legal town for own horning hereby entered on motion of Acoupper, ally for plaintiff. 2 Phurefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Planity In madset do lawed neaver of and from the Defindants asslandonthe Cellehapman 755 Buford the such of \$20000 aprincipal \$93 & interest \$ 7500 they ather with costs tayed at Justice casts \$ 30 Constables costs \$ 1200 being a total Judgment of \$339.00 with intenst thereon at the rate of seven per cent per amoun from date hung Tell paid Horie in open court this 2 day of DEcemberton Merchlon Justice of the Prace Corocalo 12 - po) 1,9 Ur_268_ ou put OCX-25, 1890-

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Cond.

Plaintiff Demand \$ 100.

R. Error Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 890

PROCEEDINGS

Dep. 30 levenfol with filed various is a sell of the se

Jan 13 Natice of appeal sind from Page 2004

Jan 13 Natice of appeal sind filed

Jan 13 Natice of appeal sind

" " " mandatalling on appeal filed

" " memoratham of control filed

200 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF Freeze of STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action ... Attorney.....for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney.....for Defendant..... PROCEEDINGS Od 2 bomplaint, affiderett trustertakning en attackment filed o semmons o evint of attackment eined.

Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Demand \$

Action

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS

4 leomploint filed monar and



30

Township,

COUNTY OF Fresh STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Vs.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Vartuete Vauloe &

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant

DATE 1890 J+a1. PROCEEDINGS Ocx Compolarich filed orsun Immuner returned served of cled. Diff. demos orally. bift-files leve set for trial Arr. 29,1890, at 28. M. 29 By consent, boutured till her 29, 1890, at 7.50 BM. Parties of learnt with their attorneys ready for trial by a de levent, a funghaving heen waived. RB John and testified for plaintiff M. Goth Swond testified furplanty How. Vay lor us about testifie of Defense. Question hundars what gu nantee did you puckars mones of object. The level owneles objection Pobjects to any declaration theredu witten contract. Stipulated that the objection be taken under advise ne medter the parbenttes on briefs, and all tes tring showing want of consider ation to be allowed subjection office lehas. Hickory Rober Dungearter, A.n. Porter sum stat Johnson sevone stest in rebuttet & P.B. Johnson Mistoch Lin rebuttall. Daken embar advisement. He Shibulation subscutting cool filed.

" The leant sourceles the objection keretofen made and orders Judgment for Blaintiff for 188. 50, 17. atty fees ofor crots of with is or Sered, asful get accel decreed that the plaintiff RB Johnson, do have and recover of and from the Defendant, How. Jay los, the sum of Eighty Fine Dollars Principal, 3. Interest, 17-Attorneys for versts toxed at Justices costs

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF French STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

If williams Codo Lamon

claim reasts

Plaintiff Demand \$50. Int May fees.

Naubrete Traslock
Attorney for Plaintiff....

Defendant...../ Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 I leamfolant, affedavitt Tudertaking on attachment filed odermous owich fattackment issued The above claim is satisfied by payment in full of

Wan Meter & Marlow allys for Play

PROCEEDINGS

M. J. Solden Us John M / Lindy continue of from 202 of same in Evidence, The court overules the Sogration and allowed the copy of tale in Endunce filed and marked as Defendants Exhibit. D. HD balsen sworn and testifued for Degendant munmanam of saunds of grain offered and allowed u Evidence. A Chapper swown and testiful for DEfundant Definant Objects to the statement of her Supper paid into, Jaint, The Court oversules the objection the Definition Excepts. Case orgued and submitted; Court takes matter under admisment untill Monday Judgment ordered from Plainty for the returning the bestopisty consisting of wheat and described in to complaint on filed or for the volue thereof \$ 200 in case a pletund thereif can not be had my Whentone it is ordered adjudged "and decreed that the Claimty It. J. Golden do have and recover

James for the Defendant J. M. Hunly Judgment

Jan

20 Clas execution issued

8-

Township,

Oct 1/95 Ex from 5 up. Court reta filed.

COUNTY OF TOURS STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

9. Sheart Dinerns, Joing beisnesses

mules the name of tyle of Peter B. Simon Jone
Plaintiff Demand \$ 198.

Cl. Vair

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE/S90 10 leamfolaint, Coffedavitt medestaling on attachment filed offmuens 5 wit fattachenent resered. Summer one returned served in 5 hop. Ifiled. Die 38 He che Answ. Dec. 29, 1890.

We the Juny find for the Plaintiff. Md. Williams, Forman-, Nerdick og Jung feled. On motion opports. connect Jury polled and mannowsly annual the above as their verdick: and the premises, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiffs, Chisholm Hones dohove and reever fand from the Defendant, L. D. Vappack, the sum of Shirty Dollars, principal, 74,5 Interest, A quetes with costs tapes at 11 200, lever tubles costs 450 Jurona fus 150. Hitimes Frees 440 or a total Judgment of 74.70 (ons \$500 rate of seven per centifier account from and this 29 th day of Done in whole 22 Affidavir for Ex of Jawe Destor's Dr. filia . C. L. Ho July 22 Order for Ex Farmer Back sieve Ald offeld,

3-

Township,

COUNTY OF Brand STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John M. Kyan Demand \$50 -S-R. Knh Defendant.....)

John Calench Attorney for Plaintiff..... Attorney....for Defendant....

Ally for Recentiff

DATE/890 Item. leversplanch filed orumous raned. Commons returned served in 3 - Fife, Theef, 13 Deft. demus ver ally Argument on Demme set for Oct. Oct. 17, 1890, at 10, 01.50. 14 Notice of true for hearing somme filed 17 Demun overreled o Deft. given till "Otto filed ab 10 As 4. handwer. 20 Dift. www. by general derial orally " Notice of Motion filed lease set for trial leanties till her. 19, 1890, at 10 cm. 19 Defendants somel appears and admits mebletines of 60. occasents that Judgment he entered for flowtiff for 50. occosts fruit, o Luterech. 25 Wherefor, it is worked, adjudged and decreed that the peanitiff, John M. Kyan, dohow and recover gand from the defendant S.R. Wash, the sum of Fifty Dollars puripul 190 Interest, costs takes at Justices costs Cerest 300 "6. leonstables costs 3. er a total judyment of 60. with witerest thereon at fran the date hereof till point.

Aone in spen Court this 30 thought 1890. Av 25, 1890 Inding the Peace Cono 3. paid I asknowledge satisfaction of the above Sudgment This of the day of December 1890 John & Deuse

Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of 3 COUNTY OF Brand STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action ..

John CD en ch D.R. Hash Plaintiff.

Demand \$ 20

John Calenck
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

		Httorneyfor Defendant
DATE	189	O PROCEEDINGS HOLL
Oct	2	land and a sind the same of th
"	2	Levenjolvint filed oo unions esand. Summers returned served in 3 Dup. filed.
	1	Deft. dem vally.
-		Dine for hearing argument en de-
		mer bet. 17, 1890, at 10 opm
11	17	Denner Total of Deft given till
		Oct. 20, 1890, och 10 Nrsu. tofile auswer
"	"	hatier filed, motion tion haft. files method
11	20	
4	"	Deft. answers vally bygeneral denia.
"		levre och fre treal.
		lenting till Nov. 19th, 1890, al 10 H.SM.
		dim of plantil offers judgment for
		720 vedets Suteresh.
Sir		Wherefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that
		the plantiff, John C. Duel, dohave and recover of.
		and from the Defendant, S.R. Work, the own
		of Twenty Dollars have capal "of vis Dollars Suterests
	-	and grats taxes at Justices proto 6 konstables.
		Costs 3. er a total Judgment of twenty him
LA		of for Dollars with interest thereon at the
Cours 3		talet le til til
		Avne in spen levent this 25 th day of hor. 1890-
Ave 2	5,	
Λ .	. 4	6. (ani) O. L. Hong (or)
11	1	6. paid Justice of the Pence.
		above fedgement this 9th day of Desember 4 og o
		alove ferdement this 9th day of Destin her 4 8 90
•		John b. Deut

Township,

COUNTY OF TO STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

DATE/890 I Complaint filed our our rained & server returned server in 3 20p. Teled. 13 across filed 25 Set for trial Arr. 25, Dec. 4, 1890, at 3 P. m. 4 leventued till Dec. 24, 1890, at 10 ton. 24 Parties in bouch and to Defend out LDSInppreh, demands a Juny. " ON mution of Plantiffs comeset oby course who of the Diff. some soutined till dec. 29, 1890 echivorm. " Venire iened on medios, of Wift. Counsel 29 Parties in levent and one recotion of Sifts. somel east contined till & P. no. this day, and Juras summand in structed to be in Court at paid time. derine returned served ofiled. "Parties in leaut ready for trial. Services, b. Stevens, J. Watton, M. Williams, Wilkins, H. Hen I woon, M. Sharris, R. Barnett & Will. With swan to answer as to qualifications as purose and severiels, lestevens, 2. Walten, Mh. Williams, Ho Varion 2. Williams, D.J. M. Cleanul, as. Welkins, M. Hun Jacon, R. Burnett o With With, accepted recome as Jury the Course. C. Chesholm, swom thestified for Houteff. Entry of Petty Ledyer Page so affered in evidence by with. vallowed as Exhibit Is. L. D. Wapparek, swow thestified for Diff. Coat offerd in evidens, allowed ofiled arter Bo IJ. Burleigh o W.C. Buile, sevom rhestifee'd in rebettall, o Chisholm testified in rebutters. Loss argued veulamettes byury who ar lift in what any of sworm officer. The Jury return the following verdich:

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.


Dam 2hord Insnosais Grando association, a Corporation Defendant

Demand \$

Action ..

La Laly
Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE	180	70 PROCEEDINGS HOLD
0×	8	leampeanit filed vannons
		is and.
"/	"	Dunnons return doewed in
		& 2 wp filed.
// .	10	Drimmer filed.
/		Jimo set for Ary on Den. on Nov. 17, 189, 2 P. M.
"	17	By consent denner ormuled and
		defendant given till chor. 22°, 1890, for feling
1	20	dennier.
hv	20	leve ud to tried to = 12 1890 at 9:200m.
"		Subport or issued for byt.
Dre,	9	Supported is not off.
Mureh	2	case set for trial Tuesday much is at 10. a.m.
Ch.	11	subsound issued for Plainty
J. J.		supporter und for "
2/34	1	substana utund & filed
District of the second	11	parties in court Plantof files officiant for Continuer
		The plant to be into and the the
Cous 13	5	the plantify pay into court the sum of \$10 =00 before this case will be tried.



COUNTY OF Tresmo STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

9. Ho. Multer and EpB. Rodyns, as

Tourseller of Defendant a

Demand \$ 222.5 L.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

PROCEEDINGS DATE 20 leonfoldint filed vanne ous ras Id. 29 Returns served on SIX maeter at the 3rd Township & filed 5 Answer of Defendant &. It. Walter filed. 12 Set for tred tor. 21, 1890, at 2 8 m. " Dubpouror isoned for plantiff. 2 cspies 10. 21 Subporsos returned ofiled. " on water of Defendants counted, this ense i contined till Ar. 28, 1890, at 2 P.m. 28 leventured tied Now 39, 1890, at 2P. m. Growsent 29 Parties in levent rundy for trial of my waived.

Difts atty makes wal notion to amend and Ludofor filed so as to plead statute of lime tations. Objected by Peff. Office overmed of off. excepts. Amendment to answer that the plf. is barred by statule allowed The d-N.D. J repoper, swom test -Ett Kodyrs swam and testified for plf- Objec as Thow accts. weretteft ob- rivillaraum. 6 untarrower - sustained. byer. on grounds that forwher found alien hos not been loid. obrvuled ryclation Ship receipt effered in evidence, allowed ofiled as Ex Or after objec - ruling or seephon of Deft. exempts objects - objection overwhold to allowed ofiled astex B.

Ques. Had you a book which showed to of tous of grapes couched ob. Fourvuled. 1111 Hung Robson, swow steetified for plf. Dr. V. D. Eskelman, affirmed steatified for Pelf-" Summers returned served on Nigh. E.B. Rodgers, who answers by general devial, orally. levich till 1:30 P. m. this date. 29 Parties in levent o Dr Cabelman Festifies. EB. Rodyno testifies in crosserain aliv Inventory of stock or shown culties offered in evidence

In the Justice's Court of Rid Township,

Action affachuery R. B. Johnson,

Plaintiff...

C. N. Goodrick Defendant

rs.

Demand \$ 29250 Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE	PROCEEDINGS
189 OPATE	
oex 2	Touplant filed suns round.
	Offedovit & undertalling on attrehuent offed.
	a Hack went 1 ranged
	Summons and attachment served on the defendant returned
	On motion the above entitled action is hereby dismissed the demands and costs paid in full
	demands and costs paid in full
	J. J. House
	Qualica Mile Perso.
Eshili	J. L. Hougho Justice of the Pence.
	objected by Deffir alty. objection overreled o Deft. excepts allowed
	files, with understanding that the original might
1/1//	withdrawn verpeg files.
///	Other A elstron seven a testified for Difts.
11////	divino Chulson, " " "
11 11111111	Jacobbengger, ""
11/1/1/11/1/1/1/	Astmatter " " "
Nov 29	leoutined till Dre. 1, 1890, at 9:30 ans on notion ofto
	Defendant.
a dre 1	begendent see 6, 1890, and 9:00 vent on motion of before dunt and offer Dr. De and only was swow o testified &
	En the still still it he is the side of the still is the side of the si
	with ortat out testimony be taken, the Court orders
Die t	that this case be contined till Dre, 13, 1890 at 100 m.
may 1	3 Got matter realled.
1///////	levetrach of Kahlers effered in evidence ob overrul, allerved
	ofeled as lex. Stip. that this Ex may be withsum
	ompluced with cutified copy famue.
	Draft in favor of Alson drawn by Robern of in induced
	allower ofiles as tex -
	Draftes officed in swidence, allowed to be withtrawn after in
	aprection by the cloud.
	Document Hered in evidence allowed Tiles as lex

No. 273.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Lieson, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Plaintiff Demand \$ 124 5 CStGodriek Defendant.....

Action - attachuex

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Oct or Complaint field of Summon wood.

Dismissed. D.L. Augro, D.D. chy RB 20 leshelman vo. Matter Rodyers. 2110 212. Ordered that this coal be submitted on briefs, the Peff have sough, the Deft & Days for 15 Planitiffs brief filed. 17 By bral stipulation argument set for this day at " lense argued venhintled totalen under advisement.

3 Iho plannish vorsus Judyment for Plaintiff for 222 veosts. Wherefor it is vodred, adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff herein, d. D. Eskelman, dahans Estmalter the order of sworkended and Swenly Two Dollars, with took witerech thereon at the rate freven percent. per anne from the dat hereof till paid byesher with costs tuyed at Justices Costs esty Two Dollars, leavestables costs 79. Mitness in open levent this & day of Jan. 1891. Justingth Pence. diag execution for 10 da go on 8 minimandin of costs filed continued on Dag & 214

In the Justice's Court of COUNTY OF Tresmy STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Charles S. Stafford

Plaintiff Demand \$ 57.50

John Carrel
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Defendant Attorney for Defendant

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Od 24 leonflaint filed some. Av 8 Dismissed on motion of plaintiffs atty. O.L. Slugar

No. 2/6

In the Justice's Court, of

8°

Action ...

Township,

COUNTY OF Frank STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles J. Stafford

Plaintiff

Wis.

Charles S. Stafford

Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Demand \$ 264.80

John le Deul Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE	189	PROCEEDINGS
60	24	leomplaint filed summons issued
Nov		Summons returned served in Danfrancises
		Cul. Ock. 29,1890, and filed.
"	8	Answer of Dafen I and file I, adventing that
11		the befored ours the plaintiff 264.50
Lev	//	In the above entitled action the sum on having
		been returned served on the Defendant and also
		a copy of the Complaint, and the Defendant having
		filed this witten auswer herein admitting all
		that applaintiff claimed in his emplaint, noul
		on motion of John C. Duel, attorney for plaintiff
		it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
		plantiff betautes &. Stafford have judgment against
	a	suid Defendant, The Mikado Fruit of aisin lempany
		for the sum of Lowesdand and Seven dollars
1 1	co p	of seven per cents beg dinal till paid;
Cous fu!	70 ,	for the own of Iword and seven dollars and event dollars and events trailed at 18 total Judgment 215. with interest as thorate of forms in open tout this 11 the day of this. 1890,
		Justin Juhrence.
AN	11	Coch bill files.
Au. 2	1-/	890
Justi	ceso	wet 15 50 fair.

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff....

Pratti 2 and y

Defendant....

Action.....

Demand \$

Attorney....for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890

DON 27 leanfreach, Affi daniet Mudertatui, vere avoit quettachent filed of the rece of the sound of the season of the

5.50

Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Cemplaint for Holding on

1. C. Coldwell
Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 17 Surnett, Ock. 15, 1890, at the 3- Swp.

No. 219
In the Justice's Court of 3"

COUNTY OF Free d STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Julia D. Lind
Plaintiff....

J.G. Johnston Defendant....

Action Demand \$ 299.

Attorney for Defendant....

DATE		PROCEEDINGS
00	13	levneplaint filet a sum our rassed. Annivers returned acrosed in 3-2 rap ofiled.
"	17 29th	Demurrer overruled.
Dec	31	Defendant files answer Defendant files answer



C. (7, 2 30

Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ... Monis Winds
Plaintiff

Accords

Defendant.

Demand \$ 62.5 Luf.

Sterney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

Sep 15 leampeaint filed



Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Assign Softenion Berview,

Plaintiff Demand \$ 161

2 31, Curan

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS

Oct I levereplanch filed.



Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action ...

7. G. Genery
Plaintiff

In Stay

Defendant

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE 890 . PROCEEDINGS leamplant filed. Affectivitt o medertaking on Drc. 2 Summer owich of attachment returned served in the 3 - Lowership ofiled. Defendant, J. W. Stay ton appears and admits undebtedness claimed by plaintiff and offers to allow Judgment to be entered Toberefor it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plantiff D.G. Gancey dohave and recover yours for the Defendant, Jw, Stay ton, the sum of Forty of of not ollows principal, 9. " Interesh and costs taxed as follows: Justices notables cooks 5,20 ora total judgment of 63.88 with interests said amount at strat freven per cent. per ann form hereof till faid in open lound this bit day of December, 1890. : Mag/mi, Jus. costs 10 25 pain D.L. X 6 126,70

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Plaintiff...

Defendant.....

Action

Demand \$ 40 EDW

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS

Oct 28 leamplaint filed.

Plaintiff...

In the Justice's Court of The State OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

HOW La Rue and S R Sa Rue

Demand \$

Action ...

Robert Efferson Defendant

Vannetu + Warlow Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

		Attorney for Defendant
DATE		1890 PROCEEDINGS
oct	29	Complaint Filed and Summons inued
		and staced in the hands of constable Johnson
Nov	101	Answer of dependant files.
Oct	30	teturns Summons returns served in third
		Township and filed.
april	8	Case set for tual april 10th at 7. P.m.
1//	10	portus in court with their attornes ready for
A		trial. IR fame und HW Lami swom & tistiqued.
(m)		R Spherson and W.H. newman
2 Jun		"have you an property now Thesting, ruling,
9,00		Thank you an property now theatin. ruling,
		12 R Lanue recalled
		Case Submitted without argument
		The court takes the matty underwerment
april	15	
	0	Williefore it is ordered and orcined that the flamings
		The La Rue and DR da Rue lake norming by reason
		of and acuta and and Some aut of promote
		LAN Ca Price and DR to Price Custo trainings
		Prestice One to # 700 Constables Justo & notaces
7	10	aus or a Total and and a with.
10		witnest their on at the rate of seven ber out
act		per annum from date here of the paid
		Dow in Spin court this 15 day of april 15,91
		Mocachire
		Jarottes of the PEVEL
	-	
april C.C.2.		Judgment roseld for Defendant for Costo. Whenfore it is ordered and decreed that the flanting by resion of this action and the Defendant of Expension do have and seconer of and from the Plantings of many for and prometer of at furties coats \$700 constables costs \$2.40 mitness que or a total fudgment of with per autiform amount from date here of sever per cut for amount of the paid of april 15.91 Down in spin cause this to day of april 15.91 Decree of the Prover

COUNTY OF Tresid

In the Justice's Court of

3

Township, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

June May m.D.Grady Defendant.....

Prom Note Attorney for Plaintiff.... Grady otherston Attorney for Defendant

1890 PROCEEDINGS 6 leamplant, affidavitt omvestaking on attachment files o Summers owist fattack ment issued. 14 Defendants attorneys appear in court ovonive service vogammone, o dem to the complaint on file herein, vally. Mry. on Denne och for hor. 17, 1840, at 1:00.00. By amount, arywheat dennier overruled V Defh. given till Av. 22-, 1890, at fin answer 22 Difendont answers, orally, by general denial. " lease set for toial Dec. 4, 1890, at 2 P.m. 4 Parties in levent ready for trad and fun y warred. Jue elleng værsker stærliges for Plaintiff. The Court sorders Judgment fullanitiff for "2001 toets. Wherefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Planitiff, fre May, do have and recover of and from the Defendant, Ir. D. Grady, the sum of Level Ofmotives costs 11. Leonstables costs 5 20 or a total Judgment of Lwo Hundred Ind & Sixteen & Tood do delens, with interest thereon at the rate of seven for cent per ann from the date hereof till buind. Done in open levent this to the day of Dec. 1890. Dee of Stay of execution for 100 ags 26 Audertalling on appeal files Justin cook francis 20 Erzention issued Justin Cook francis M Motion of Clarity This case is dissummed of Denother

Township,

COUNTY OF Frend STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chester Rowell

Plaintiff

Aus Anderson

Defendant.....

Action..... Prom Soko Demand \$ 40! Into 15 attys fees Van Meter v lo arlord Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890 PROCEEDINGS Au 6 leomploint filed orminous malias summons issuit March 4 summons returned as served these day of march 1892 and file. 15 The defewant herein having been regularly served with seimmons and having failed to appear Drumer or answer the Complaint on file herein the Egal time for same having ay pull on motion of Plainty attorney the default of said defewant Lars anderson is hereby Entered according total. Nate offered and allowed in Evidence G.L. Warlow sworn and testifew that \$1500 is a reasonable atty fee. Wherefore it it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Planiting Chester Rowell do have and recover of and from the defewant L'ars anderson the sum of \$4000 principal \$1800 interest togather with costs tax of at Justice casts \$830 Contables costs 4 90 being a total Judgment of \$71.40 with niterest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from dote herrog till pard rid open court this 15 day of March 1892 Justice of the Prace

No. 229 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Demand \$ 25 Jul. 5 10 alty Chester Howell

Plaintiff

Charles Oursbell Defendant.... Attorney.....for Defendant.... PROCEEDINGS 1892 levenfolvink filed von 27 alias summons usue.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Found STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joshnesker Plaintiff Demand & Leluin ordelivery

Plaintiff Demand & La Constable

3. June Ship, Fres note Cal, J. C. Duel Frank Laining Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Defendant

DATE/890 /250 paid on Conticeptings plff. 8 leomplant filed osimmons sined " Summons returned served in the 8-2wpofiled. 13 Defendant file denner 1- Live for hearing ary went on Demorer set for Aux. 17, 1890, at 20. on. by consent. 17 The Court allows plantiff's attorney bannend changing 50. to 149. 19 Defendant files Denne. Defenduch " answer. 22 The Court overmes the demun to mended soufolouch. Set for trial her 22, 1890, at & P. m. leventimed till And 24, 1890, at 7:30 P. m Luving been waired. J.E. Williams & G.E. Nandren swem stest from the Istohnesher test for Defense o also in rebuttall: leontined till hov. 25, 1890, at 3 P. m. for any ment 25 lease argued, submitted a taken under advisement by the court. 28 The Court orders judgment for Deft. for cool Whenfor, it is ordered, adjudges and decreed that the planitiff herein take morting by reason of this action and that the Defend ont for Morgan do have and recover of and from the plaintiff It shrasher his costs or dislemments laid contables wests 1.30 herein taxed at Justices costs 16 to 19.9 Judgment of Wollars with legel interest therem Fill fair. Done in Spen Court this 28 day from 1890 & New Jol, J.D.

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

D. C. St. John Plaintiff..... Leo amble

Action.

Demand \$.

Defendant.....

are

Don Senton
Attorney for Plaintiff

PROCEEDINGS

AN 8 leomplaint, approduiett ormsertaking on attachment filed & summous owith of attachment is and.

3

Township,

A. Kulner, D. Goldetein alex COUNTY OF One STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Goldstein alfred Kutner, purtners uger the firm name of Mutner, Galdatein & Demand \$ 77.90 S. C. Coldwell Attorney for Plaintiff..... Defendant.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

PROCEEDINGS 11 leverpland filed vannuns issned. " Sumono returned ouved in 3° Supported. 18 Defendant denius, wally. I time for calling up deminer più ed april 11/892 at 1304 of Defudant sumulu Ory comment of Council for the Plainty the Soft is allowed five days in which to answer. 4 The Defudant hirin N.C. Coldwell having failed to appear and answer. and the legal lime for same having Expend on motion of Plaintiff attorney that Default of said Defudant N.C. Coldwill is herby Enterel according to law alix Coldsten sworn and testiful for Plainty Judgment rendered for Plaintys for \$32 90 hearts Wherefore it is ordered adjulged and decreed that the Plaintiffs do have du securer of and from the Defudant N.C. Coldwell the sum 8 #32 go togather with Costs tax Ed at Julte Carls 6 4 Courtables Casts 42 700 weing a total Judgment of 4/10 with interset thereon at the rate of seven per Cent per annum from dole hereof tul Done in spin court this 4day of may 1892 6 abstract of Judgment issued.

In the Justice's Court of 32d

COUNTY OF Treeno STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Odligornia Nursery Co a Corperation
Plain
Seo Minvelle Plaintiff...

Action...

Demand \$ /3935

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE PROCEEDINGS Nov

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

country of Fres of STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

country of Fres of California.

State of California.

Action attachment promisory

note

Plaintiff Demand & 93 43 44 nitures to 25 attyles

Plaintiff Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/89)

PROCEEDINGS

// Complaint offidowith "and winder taking on attachment filed and summons of a writ of attachment issued

5'5'

In the Justice's Court of COUNTY OF Joreand

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Attorney for Defendant

Action on Bond. J. Hensley Plaintiff Demand \$ Edward 3 o Com BS S. St. willer 5 JR. While J. J. Daly & Do Strong for Defendant

DATE /840 chor. 17 leampeant fled our or is 18 Sum one returned on 3- dup feled. 22 Defendanti atty. appears and demins, orally, that the complement does not state for its sufficient beautitute a course expection. There for he oring argument on Dec. 9, 1890 attoom. Dre 9 leventined till Dec 10, 1890, at 10 orm. 10 leventines til Dec ro, 1890, ah 3 P. m. no butie of cather him out for arymout filed. " Ordered that the deminer hertifers entered be overruled other the Difere don't have two deeps from the treed in which Wfile answer. 1891 and time in 7 Trotice of overreling of Demmer Jan which to answer filed 9 Defindants by Their "ally" miners by a general demail 10 Case set for treat sunday getin 12 at 2 P.Zu. " Plantiffs council appears and Demands a Jung ordered that a verise terme for fifteen Citizens qualified to act as Juris " Case set for trial Tuesday for 13th 91 at 2 P. m. 12 subporna isund for difudant filed Vanine usund for fifteen citizens qualifu Vanise returned lived filed by consent this case is continued till saturday Jan 17 th 91 witness instructed to be in court at notice of trine of setting care filed

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joseph Plaintiff Plaintiff

Demand \$ 34. Luk & 20. att s fur Louis Thank, Attorney for Plaintiff...

Defendant....

Attorney.....for Defendant

Township,

AN 19 Compenied, Affidavitt o medertakning on attachment filed o summons and with of attachment is and is a dispersion of this 20 th day of nov. 1890.

The costs to so paid I de Alogo.

Semondatut 38.10 Raid H. A. Arw ally.

Defendant.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Donohos Cemmons Tto a conformation
Plaintiff

mlas

Demand \$ 33. 90

Chas In Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE / 890 PROCEEDINGS form 22 Complaint file or It Sunhous retirents served in & Darp and Sain 11 The Defulant wiin having been regularly buyout with summons and having failed to appear Dumer or answer the complant on file herein the tryal time for some having Expired on motion of Plainty, attorney the defunct of said Dajawant is hurly Entral according to law. Wherefore by reakon of said prefault and the premises it is bordered adjudged and acried that the plainty do have and securer of and from the Diferdant the sum Q1 33 to long with with costs lay Ed at Lugher casts 1750 constables corto 20 Doud in open caux this 11 th day of fan 1893 Lustin of the Proce 11 Execution lis

3

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$

State of California.

Action

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

And 25 learness filed of weed in 6 - Leap. Filed.

" 28 Defendant appears and demons, maley, on the
grounds that the complaint does not about
fucts sufficient to contitute a among function



No. 244 244 In the Justice's Court of 3 and Township, COUNTY OF FUSIO STATE OF CALIFORNIA. G.a. Carlile. Action Claim In delivery of Personal Property Plaintiff. J.D. Morgan.
Defendant church & Cong Attorney.....for Defendant.... DATE/890 PROCEEDINGS Vor 25 Complaint filed " stummons issued
" Summons returned served in 5-200p. Filed. (59) Denner, oral, entered. orgunut tet on Dannen set for Jan 15-91 3. P. Zu. 15 Denner overalled and defindant allowed two days in which to answer 6 Defendants annu filed Case set for trial lan 24th 1891 24 Parties in court make for Trial 4 of Carlile som E.W. owens & Whillian somfuld swon & testifica for Planting Defindant asks for non suit on the ground that the frozing is not trumph from Execution non Suit Granted (nehlon) Queties of The Perce

3-

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Rodges

Plaintiff

Plaintiff

Defendant

Demand \$ 299. 99

Thompson Ring X. M. Attorney & for Plaintiff...

Attorney....for Defendant

DATE 1890

PROCEEDINGS

Av 26. levimplaint, Affid avitt om dartakeng on Attack-

(550) Jehua

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Tres & STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

a B Peters Plaintiff...

Defendant.

Action.

Demand \$ 26 -

He Devetto
Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

leampland filed annevers isoned

Recd satisfaction in full J. S. Dushit

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Owstacke Itstejandner

Defendant.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

Had 29 leanifelaint filed formous issued.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF Treend STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Plaintiff... Jesse G. Perry

Action ...

Demand \$ 114., 73 25 atty for Introd,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

DATE /890

PROCEEDINGS

lemplaint, Affidavitt Mudertaking om attachment filed vannunous owit of

Dec 2 Romanie omit fattachment returned served

Dis

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant....

Action....

Attorney for Plaintiff....

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant....

PROCEEDINGS

DATE /840 19 leanfolvint, affidavitt medertaking attachment filed some one owith attachment issued.

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

H.J. Dickey
Plaintiff

Vs.

Le leunity of rand Defendant....

Action

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Av 29 levreplaint filed voumme our reaned.

In the Justice's Court of 32.

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. - Township,

Em Noury

Defendant...../

Mulanoful Detaines Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant....

DATE /890 4 leamplaint filed osmono casad. " Defendants consel accepts revoice of su Jeopy of Complaint. 6 Edward Lynch, attorney akkand, appreans for the purpose, and for no other purpose, ofmoving to quash the summers herein and Defendant does hereby more the levent to great paid our. more on the ground that said summore has not been seemed under the provisions 4epy 6 of Section 1166 of the levde of Civil Procedure, that no return day as provided by law was fixed by the levent or stated therein 2 upon the ground that the leverfluiblinein is not verified before an officer authorized budumenter an oach othat the verification purporting tobe made to the complaint has not been certified to as required by land-8 Plaintiffs attorney appears and consents that the motion to great summers be outland andasks levie grte levent to amend the learnfolaint on file herein, and that an alias summons issues The Comb sustains median Agreach summers. The Couch allows amendment & Complant, and orders that an alias semmons come nable 2 Dec 12, 1890. Justosts paid & A.L. M. Justice of the Per

No.206 256 3 20 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF France STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action account Demand \$ V. > V Plaintiff... Frank Varadul
Defendant Attorney.....for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant Complaint affidavit ad undertaking on attachmant filed and summons and writ of attachmant issued I & Wolford Os The county-That the Plantiff & hoppind do have and Defendan The and from the of Fund the sum of \$ 299 20 together taxed at Surtis costs & 10 ft Constables Costs \$5-40 Wetness fus a total Judgment of \$ 34/20 well intenst um pu eur per ann Down in Spin comt This 27 day of Jan 1891 WD butter numander of costs filed

No. 9 6 1 In the Justice's Court of 3 Township, Action Ottach John F. elm ag Plaintiff Demand & Jourch Cha Long
Attorney for Plaintiff 2. L. Betty Defendant..... Attorney.....for Defendant..... Complaint undistaking and Officiamit on & attachment, filed and summons and write 891 of attachment issued. Summons returned as served fran o in thousakip Definant him La Bittys having hein regularly somed with summons on farming of 1891 Ind having failed to appear answer or amount to the complaint on fits him the the default of said Defindant Roll Betty. io purely Entered according to law Whenfre by reason of soid default in the punisses it is ordered adjudged and aired that the planty I folin & munas Defendant & & Bettys 8/10, phuncipal \$ 35.89 interest tog atter with costs tand at Justice Costo \$ 8 20 / Goustables Costo 13 40 or a total Judgment of 1208,24, with ruturest at the rate The then per cent per annu from dato 8 untill paid Vone in Spin Court this 17 tony ffam 1891 Ord Cuchton

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

country of Fresho STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Attach No. 262 262 Demand \$ 1 Samit Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint undertaking and affidamit on attachment filed and summons and wit of attachment issued, O.L. Hough, J.P.

In the Justice's Court of 3

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kultur, Holdslein G

Action attach

Demand \$. V.L. Packwood

Defendant

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant...

Complaint undertaking and affedavit on attachment filed and Mithours sur summons and writ of attachment returned as served in Third Dawnship & filed. Dismisses on motion of folfo. ally. D. L. Koogno, Justice of the Pero, Jus Carts chy Kly o Co.

Candables Costs Bio C. M.

No. 26 1

In the Justice's Court of 3 -a Township, COUNTY OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Patrick Dalahanty Mary Hernelly and Defendan

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Attorney.....for Defendant.....

23 Complaint official and undertaking on att-achiment filed and summons and writ of attachment issued

Lord 18 / wine

Done in open court this 9th day of

Per cent per annum from date herof tell paid

Athe in Then court this \$6 day of March 1891

Jester of The Paren

March 23 obstract of Judgmit Socied

No. 266 266 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF FORMA STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Stephen I mitt Plaintiff The Suiger Dewing Machine los- a comporation Defendant Attorney.....for Plaintiff Attorney.....for Defendant..... DATE 1890 31 Transcrip on Charge of Senne received from the Justice's lement of the 3- 2 monship ofiled, also the following papers. leverplanch filed. Dubpter og feled. " Studiole Codo Complaint filed. ". " elleten filed ellation filed, Affidioratt filed " illation filed. " Affidavitt for ohvnge søvenes filed.
" By evnet eves seh for trial Dec 31, 1890, at 10 M. M. Defendants attorney moves that the Court order Judgment for 52.25, in grounds that thetives -- Touch was not answers or demuned to mohon demed 25 Case set for trial Franch 7 at 10 a.m.,
7 Parties in Court with their attorneys ready for Strue Tweet swom I listful for Planty stipulation offend I allowed in Evidence marked Planty Ex a W. M. Stevens & a Howey & E Hawey soom & testifue for Define Case submitted with out argument Claker underadurement Till march 16 1891 March 16 Judgment ordered for Defindant for \$5220 & costs Whilefor it is ordered adjudged & deered that the Plainty stephen I with take nothing by reason of this action of that the Defindants The spiger many company do have I reover of and from the Planty the Sum of 12 25 Togalter with Costs taxed at Justice Costs \$ 14.60 or a Total Judymunt 67,31of \$ 1.35 with interest them on at the role of

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF Free STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mannie J. Brendell, a Sole Iradur

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Le Coninchell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Township,

DATE /890 31 leverplant filed olumous issued. Jan 2 Summons returned served in 3 Emp. Giles 3 Affidavitt oundertaking in Claim and Delinery of Gersanal Property filed. bedendant ippens by his attorney and Enters 28 Care set for trail march 1th 1891 at 3 P.m. 1 Parties in court mady for trial many & Brend Ell swow & tentified for Planting Occine of court of Lonoura country offend and alland in Endence field as planty Ex a Care continued till some future time comment to all partie 1 Parties in land wady for trul april Fi. W. Bundal swom and testique for Plaintyo Day Brook offend and allowed in Evidence marked Planty Ex 3 What other damage have you sentand! Thech, who Justin In Hensly and Jud Knoblock swon I textified for Planty Case argued and submitted. The land takes it und admisment 10 Judgment ordered for Plainty restetution of Propuly april and \$ 50 - damage and Corts Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and deered that the Plaintiff marrie Je Brendell do have and recover of and from the DEquidant & M HEMSley restribution of a certain stock of goods wants and muchandies Cossetting of fulls Confectionery Organs and other articles and \$35-00 in morning of the unifed States and described in the Complant The file herein also for \$ 50 cm for wrongfull detention of said property and if said stock of goods would be remarked

268 In the Justice's Court of Township, Action Il. Gavey B.L. McLoughlin Attorney.....for Defendant.... Defendant PROCEEDINGS DATE / 890 Dept 15 Complaint filed Brendall US Kensley An the sum of \$ 20000 the value rith intenst there on at the rate of 79 per Cent per annun fron date hung till, Tome in open Court this 10 day of april 1891 Justice of The Broce notice of appeal filed May und established on Papeal Julio The 3 transipt on appeal issued. Jane 36 notice from supinos Court that the appeal is this Thul day Dismissed. Afril The Sum of \$5000 assessed as damages for detention of goods to des is hereby waivand and Entered as satisfied in this suit. this day her & francish

Township,

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action...

Plaintiff... Demand \$

OR. Williams

Defendant...

Defendant...

Action...

Plaintiff...

Demand \$

Im Mut & Hullon

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant...

3 I were ifthe of Docket per G. P. Baley, J.P., Seet. as Complaint Sumores, Appelaatt omedatakang for attachunk Writ of Attachuent, Hasigned a count, "Stepselahon for skange ofsense from 2 Leop., Dunner, Affidovitt for though of place of that and Ivanscript of Docket tentry from UB. le who, J.P., all received and filed. 7 Subspoana issued for Defendant Jan Onse set for trial Truedry Jan 20 1891 Subjection returned as sinced and filed Suprana issued for Planting returned and 20 Parties in court with their attorneys ready for If m Buton swom tulfed for Plainty Pour of attorney offered and allowed in Endend marked as Planty Echeler a feled Question Why did your Brother Trakez Charge of the Property Defendant Pojects, The court owneilles the Objection to which the seguais- excepts assignment of occount offered and allowed in Ewilanes marked Planty Ethelit B & + Butor swon & testpent for Plantso. Thomas & Reams and E.W. Davis mont betyer go alanting Plainting rests a Hacker swom an teleped for DEfendant Question sure whore hogs were three you found Clarity objects the court ourules the off Ection to which the Planting rupto Quite What were the Chiefens worth. alanty of gets the court overales the offeter Clanto Escato By Commet It m Rains swon & Turbjud for Plantoff, continued on Page 274

No. 271 272 In the Justice's Court of 3 Township, Pedro Pribry

Plaintiff Demand \$

Outouro Joseph

Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant..... I Complant filed and summons issued 8 Defendant in court and admitts service The 3rd Township this day Buton 18 Hom from Page 271 Two a P williams swon & testified for Defixant aunter mis all the property your own included in the Biss crosserameter of sale from Hacker to you. Planty tysets The ownt own meles the objection, Defindalt Except Questro Have your grate that Bill of Sall in your pris essin who, Defendants of ects the comb ourneles the Metion to which Defr Ercepts Bill of sall Mund and allowed in sudmer filed and marked as Planty Erhet lo. "4 to E Hacker & Dominion T. Riveh , GEO Souvel Ma & E. Same swom & astigned for Define its Defindant closes this case M M Buston Called in whitele to him as the agent of mis al willings and allfred in Evidence marked as Alunty Exhibit D and filed, defendant objects the court owently the Agection tol which the Dependent Except Case submitted with our argument Court takes the case under admission till Friday I am 23 10. a. mc. Judgment ordered for Plainte fifty several and of dollars

No. 1/3 273 In the Justice's Court of 3

country of Fresh STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Padro Dulung Action Township, 1 R Harx John Bittincomt

Defendant.... Attorney for Plaintiff.... dayle & Coldwell Attorney.....for Defendant..... I Complaint filed and furnous issued 7 summons returned as served on the of Jam 91 in the 6th Township. 13 Defendant afframent and motion to during filed on motion of Hantips attorny this case is dismissed W. W. Crichlon Paid Justie of The Perce Zuston Us Williams Whenfor it sistemordered adjudged and decreed that the plainty do have and recome of and from the Defindant a. P. Williams The sum of fifty seven and fifty-one hundredth-dollars with coits witness gut 36 20 for land grand of 1 1 20 with interest the pate of seven per unt per amount from the date hereof the paid Done in Spin court this 23rd day of January 1891 Justice of The Oroca Gosts feed 13 Jeremound un 1/ paid on said Execution leaving a balance de on Judgment of \$ 53 to \$ 63.85" 6.75 d

274 In the Justice's Court of State of California. Township, Pedro Janguy Plaintiff... Demand \$ Antions Joseph Defendant. Attorney....for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant 6.7- FI PROCEEDINGS Complaint filed and Summons issued Defination in court the admitts services of summons and copy of complaint at the 3rd Pawnship Consibles costs 34.00

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township, COUNTY OF FIRE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Pedro Janregny John Bitten court
Defendant Complaint filed and summons usued mono returned as served on the Infant, at 6th Township

13 Defindants affident and motion to dismin filed

13 Ph Instion of Planty Conneil This Case

10 desmissed Justice of The Perer

No. 276 276 In the Justice's Court of 3 Township, COUNTY OF JUSTO STATE OF CALIFORNIA. IM Stanage Demand \$ 2-50, = Plaintiff... IR Stort Chus Berry
Defendant Attorney.....for Plaintiff Kul /Erry. Attorney for Defendant..... S. M. I complaint filed and summons issued 6 Summons between served in third Township Defendant files Dummer argument on Danimer set for Tuesday Jan 13 1896 1300m 3 Demuner overuled DE fudant answers rally by general denial 22 care get for Trial Feb & lat 10 am 6 subpresia sund for Plantiff (3 Pinns) 7 supposer artured and filed subprene siend returned & feled De Parties in Caut with their attorneys seady for trial T. W. Stanage, swom & Tilfred for Clambig Question what is that more worth, Dest objects the court surland the Objection to which plantiff Excepto emider. austin "Did you it a trade! objection by Defor surfamind by the court Planty Excepto simon Henry Frank Henry & It in Henry swom and Wetful for Plagnity. Chas Juny sword & testified for Defense Cross France of Bill of tale offered A allowed in Evidence filed & marked Plantiff the Exelit a since This alleged Trade? Plainty Proces The court shortains the yestion tot which the defendant Ereipto Case argued & submitted The couft take the matter underweent tell fet 11 at 10 am. Judgment ordered for thomas Defendant for costs of suit Whenfan it is ordered adjudged and

280 In the Justice's Court of 3

COUNTY OF FRANCE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township, In Mc Mullin Demand \$ R Condinen Attorney for Defendant Complaint offidavil and undertaking attachment filed and summont wit of attachmut issued Ovato having been paid this case is contable paid of Paid of Mentales good of In. Moderation

In the Justice's Court of Third

Township,

COUNTY OF France

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant...

Demand \$

Welsh Manhard

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Jam 10 complaint filed and thattamore visited

FEV 16 summons returned of filed

Jam 21 Defendant Mic Commark by his attorney, orally demined

FET 3

10 " Lamance by his attorney tracky Demines

10 " Lamance by his attorney to the taken

11 against their for the sum of \$ 30-02

12 DEfendants answer tracky by general denial

13 Defendants answer tracky by general denial

14 Defendants answer tracky by general denial

15 Cure directed Costs pend

16 Defendants answer of the Person

No. 286 286 In the Justice's Court of 3 m Township, COUNTY OF LESSO STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Kutner Goldstun Heo La Corporation Plaintiff. Demand \$ H. C. Tupper Attorney for Plaintiff 18. DM iller Attorney.....for Defendant..... Complaint filed and summon issued Ja your summons between not served dilligent swock having hun made The Defendant Called not be found in Fusio Count not in the state of Calforna 3 Junious filed J tran for Publication of summons filed Fer Officanit for Publication of summons fried Var adenced 2 Thoof of Publication and approanit of Publisher of Daily Evening Exposition filed afficient of service of summons of complaint by mail. The Defendant herein N.B. Willer having 11 hun regularly served with summons and having failed to appear Demmer or answer the complaint on file him the legal tries for same Kuring Expued on Thotion of Plantiff allowing the Sepands of said Defideant M.B. Willer is huly Outur according totain. Misuferin to beday adjourned destile (that the Plainty tytus soldsto Conformal do hape and pecarin of and Down the Degreeput MBMiller Blu Ium 2 A Goldstein sworn and techpin for Plantys " Note officed and allowed in Evidence filed as Obelintiff Ex. a. satisfactory proof having been made that the Deplocant is indubited to the said planetiffs the sum of \$192.73 and interest,

Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff Kutur Goldstein Co do have

No. 1-90 290 In the Justice's Court of 37 lownship, COUNTY OF FRENCH Whatelin and Action J. G. Huduson Demand \$ (Well & Triedman Defendant. Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint filed and total summons is and summore returned as served in Fulare on the 76th of January 1891 FEL- 17 The Defendants him in having him regularly served with summono of having fulled to appear Dimmer or answer to the Complaint on file here in the ligal time An Same having Espired on Wholin The flainty attorney the Defaults of said Wherefore by reason of the default in the pennises I it is ordered adjuly get and denned that the Plainty's M.L. Alled + & G anderson do have I recover of and from the Defendat & Friedman the Sum 17 # 20 00 9 70 intent O togathe with costs touch at Senter Costs 550 Constables Costof 3.50 or a total Judgment of I A 9 Le with intenst their on at the rate of seven for out per annu from date here of The paid Done in Spin Court This 27 of FEt 1891 M.D. Crichton

No. 297 292 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF Fred STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 4200ge Prekford Plaintiff.... Demand \$ Attorney.....for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant omplaint afficient and undutating the attachment field and summons and no me wit of attachment returned the costs having bun paid this case is dismussed! (WD Cuchlor Justice of The Perce

No. 293 In the Justice's Court of at black with me king a Action Fresh & Stone Cher Attorney for Plaintiff... Demand \$. Plaintiff... Defendant... Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint filed and summer served

No. 296 296 In the Justice's Court of 3m Township, COUNTY OF FILMS STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Kutuur Goldstin & Company a Corporation

Plaintiff

Ps. Demand \$ / 7 50 Tupper Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff Henry Pranger Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant..... 20 Complant filed and Summono usual It summer returned sinced this day in Townhips of filed 2 The Defendant him having been regularly FEL-Served with summos on the 22 kay from 1891 and having failed to appear anchors amount to the complaint on file him The legal trine for same having Expired the default of said Defindant Henry Pranger It herefore by reason of Baid Default in the plunself it is ordered adjudged I decred that the plaintiff Kutner Goldstenles as have and secones of and from the Defindant Henry Oranger the sum 78/7 08 To- gather with costs taxed at lentin costs 400 Thustables Evets & 2 20 or a Cetolal Judgment of \$ 13.78 with interest themost at the Sali of swen per cent for amoun from date hing till found Some in open court February 2 de 1891 Watrickton Dustin of The Peace

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF FRENZ' STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Jan 23 Complaint affidavit "In undertaking on attachment filed and summons "Int writ of attachment is eved

Sept 4 Summons returned as served sept 3.189, and find

" writ of attachment returned and find,

" 8 Argurant files Denner

No. 798 298 3 2 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF Frand STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Plaintiff. Demand \$ S.R.Hax Attorney.....for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney for Defendant 9. m. PROCEEDINGS laint affidament und undertaken gon Giled and summons emand and all costs being paid This Case assmissed Lustres of The Porce recide \$ 0000 in full of all Dominas.

No. 3 10 300 In the Justice's Court of Third Township, COUNTY OF Fresho STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Ellen Durns Action. Plaintiff. Demand \$ S. R. Hork Prieum Main Attorney for Defendant Complaint filed and summons usual returned as served on 24 in 3 th Defendant makes-ovally- a tender costs to date by general demind- vally. Trial Fet 190 1891 at 2 P.M. Subsected issued for Plainty 7. This case is airsmind ustice of the Pooce

No. 302 302 In the Justice's Court of 3 rd country of France STATE OF CALIFORNIA. lownship, Halken Plaintiff... Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS no complant filed and summone usual 3 summond returned no served in 2 nd hown Ship on This day & Filed The Defendant herein CH Ham having him regularly served with summer on F2H3, 1891 to the complaint fine to appear Dummer & answer for same having afforming appeared, on notion of Plaintiff altorney The Default of Said Defendant Cottain is purchy Entited according to whenten by wason of Mindefault in the pumifice it is ordered adjudged & accould that the Plainty &. C. Walker. do have I recover of and from the Defendant C. H. Hann the Janen 1 \$160 pencipul & \$ 30 20 interest \$ 30 00 lattorning for together with costs tand at Juntie conto \$1500 Constables auto \$ 8 50 or a total Judgment 17 # 233 20 with without Theren out the pate of seven per cent per annum from date thenog till paid done in spend court this 16 day of Feb 1891 D Crichlot Southof The Porce Nav 24 abstract of gen greens

304 In the Justice's Court of 3 state of california. Township, Action Demand \$ Plaintiff.... Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint officianit and undertaking on attachment filed and summers and with of attachment issued

In the Justice's Court of 3

COUNTY OF FILSON STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. B. Lucas Action Plaintiff.... Demand \$.

Defendant...

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant....

Africa and summer for Dopendant Jeles answer

No. 316 306 In the Justice's Court of 3 Township, COUNTY OF FREZERO STATE OF CALIFORNIA. & Flan Dz mark + co Demand \$ E.A Edwords Attorney.....for Plaintiff

ENRICE

Attorney.....for Defendant..... Verspian Broo Defendant G.Zm. 29 Complaint filed and summons usual " summons returned as suned in 3 rd town. - ship on this day & filed. Defendant by his attomy answers by general annal ordely 25 Case set for tilal march 26th at 20.m. Parties dis court ready for tual. John Suropian swow and testiful for Planty Budgment adud for Cole submitted with out aggueral Judgment ordered for DEfendent for coals Whenfore it is ordered adjudged and deemed that the floring seage I van de mark & Co Take nothing by reason of this action and that the Definedonts Surprine Bros do have and neaver of and from the Rlambig & F Van de mant de Costs land at funtice costs \$ 5-25 Constables Cost 120 or a total Judgmen of \$ 8 45 with suturnt themon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from dali herrof tree paid court this 26 day of march 1891 Mr D. Circhler Graties of The Peace 9 notice of appeal fund april 9 undertaking on appeal Greed 21 similation file " memorandum og lasts fill. 22 Essecution issued. Execution returner & fiel sulisper. Nav

In the Justice's Court of

3 Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Malony
Plaintiff....

vs.

May Mayurell.

Defendant.....

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant...

Jan 31 Complaint officiant I undertaking m Attaching ficia and summers I with of attaching issued 18 M Motion of Plainty This Care is dismiss MDC wester

No. 318 308 In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF FUSIN STATE OF CALIFORNIA. L' tagundes Plaintiff. Demand \$ Attorney.....for Plaintiff. A de Frutas Dias Defendant Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint affidavit and undertaking on attach. ment filed and summons and but attachment seemed summer of attachment returned as since on Feb 220 in 3 township Brud To shirty on Mace of attachment filed The Defendant humin a de Frutas Wias having hem regularly sirved with summers on F/El- 3rd /89/ and having failed to appear demuner for answer to the complaint on file herin, The legal time for same having Perpired the default of sald Defendant a de fruitas Drus is buch, Entired according Therefore is is ordered adjudged I decreed That the Plainty L' tagundes do have & recover of and from the Defendant a. a. Fruitas Dino the sum 98228 2 puncipal interest together with costs taxed at public Ovelog 8 2 Courtables costs & 5 20 Kupus fus \$300, or a total Judgment 17\$ 244, 90 - with interest thereon at the rate of sinen perent per annum from date hereof till paid Done in Spin court This 9th Day of Feb 1891 Suction of the Prace

In the Justice's Court of STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township, Plaintiff... Demand \$. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff.... Attorney.....for Defendant..... 3 Complaint filed & summons usual

Complaint filed and warrant issued FEF summer situaned semed this day in 3 townships The Defendant having hum regularly served with summon on FEA 4 1891 and having failed to appear Amount & answer To the complaint of file wein the ligal Time for same having Expend on theolion of planning attorney the Separt of said Defindant John Johnston is weeky Entered according to law. Thursfore by reason of said default in the premises it is ordered adjudged I decreate that the Planting The Junear do have I seemed the Definition of the sum of \$145.97 and Interest \$13.63 an attorney for \$25 togather with costo taxed at Janties Costo \$14.00 Contables costo \$200 Or a total sudgment of \$ 190 00 with interest Thereon at the rate of sum per cent per-amount from date honor till paid Done in open Court This 10 day of Feb 1891 my Cuestion natura the Proce april acception usud affredant and order for Examilia flugant deblor filed ancellor returned unsatisfied of give to a constitute of the Jun

In the Justice's Court of 320 Township,

COUNTY OF FILES STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Plaintiff Demand \$

Level Survey for Plaintiff

Defendant Attorney for Defendant

For V Complaint Africanit and undutating for att- achieved fred & primmer & with of ittachnick
issued
Demand & all costs maning him paid
this care is discussed

Santo of the Private

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF TURENU STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff Demand \$

Is I / Arrice

Attorney for Plaintiff.....

Grady & Austriant Attorney for Defendant

Gegerenich Defendant....

M L Ousemi

Complaint affedanit & undutating for att-- achur fuld & summer of with of attachung isund I summer returned as since this thing I date in 3th Township of filed " Defendant by his attorney wally was answer by general Spenial Chre st for Trial Friday. Feb. 20. 1891.10. am. FIT 13 subsecond issued for Plainty 20 susporna returned & Filed Partus in court with their attorneys ready IR Doce kal swom as interple In L Puccini swom & testique for Plants account offered & allowed in Enduce question when was this account opined. If suling Change figures 43. To \$59.17 Case submitted. Judgmut orden for Blanty frit 59 17 Wherefore it is orkered adjudged & deered that the Planty m & Precise do have I recover of and from the Defendant of Baseonich the sum of \$ 59.17 together with costs tand at justice costs \$12.00 Constables costo \$ 8 50 misignate fut 2,00 # 89.67 with interit Thereon at the rate of swen pucut per annu from tale honey to Druin spen court the 20 day of Feb 1891 Matrichlor C.C. Pard Julie of the Proce # 8 50 MD

316 In the Justice's Court of State of California. Township, 3 & Subband Action . Plaintiff... Demand \$ Edward Lynch Attorney....for Defendant..... PROCEEDINGS 20 Dermons returned as served on any 19th and filed. 24 Defendant files answer FEL

348, In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF TUSIN STATE OF CALIFORNIA. L' tagund as Action Plaintiff. Demand \$ Attorney.....for Plaintiff M & Conford Defendant. Attorney for Defendant PROCEEDINGS Complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed & summons & with attachmut issued summons & with of attachment returned, as served in 3 Township This day & date I filled Defendant Juli Dimmer This for heaving any on dummer set in 16 th 2 P.M. Denner our ruled Defendant files unsur Case set for trial Thursday F24-19 1841 A+2 82m

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

PROCEEDINGS

PROCEEDINGS

FOR 10 Complaint affidant of underlaking for attachment filed of summons of luch of attachment is and burning of the Aimand of all casts having un paid this day of filed Aimand of all casts having un paid this case is -on motion of Plainty attachment of the Plainty attac

by BAllon Sivils note.

No. 320 320 In the Justice's Court of 3

COUNTY OF FILLING STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Township, Action .. Plaintiff... Demand \$ Sayle & Ovldwell
Attorney.....for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant L 10 Complaint Jiled PROCEEDINGS

